Exhibit Q

(Redacted)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITNESSES for the AMANDA J. BAHENA Page Northern District of Iowa 4 5 EXHIBITS NUSTAR FARMS, LLC, 6 No. Description Page 120 Email dated 10-2-18 from Lori Nunes to 10 Plaintiff, 8 vs. Civil Action No. 5:20-cv-04003-CJW-MAR 121 Letter dated 10-12-18 from Amanda J. Bahena to NuStar Farms, LLC 9 RYAN LIZZA, et al., (COUNSELS' EYES ONLY) 10 122 Form I-9, with Attachment 11 123 Form T-9, with Attachment 84 124 Form I-9 85 125 Form I-9, with Attachment 14 126 Form I-9, with Attachments 106 15 127 Form I-9 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION 16 128 Form I-9 OF 17 129 Form I-9, with Attachment 114 AMANDA J. BAHENA 18 19 131 Form I-9, with Attachment 20 132 Form I-9 122 21 133 Form I-9, with Attachments 132 22 134 Form I-9 132 23 Taken at 135 Copies of Invoices 155 WOODS, FULLER, SHULITZ & SMITH, P.C. 300 South Phillips Avenue, Suite 300 Sioux Falls, South Dakota February 22, 2022 24 APPEARANCES 1 (Deposition of AMANDA J. BAHENA, taken before 1 KRISTEN HAUSER, ESQ. 2 me, Cindy K. Pfingston, a Registered Professional NATHANIEL S. BOYER, ESQ.
THE HEARST CORPORATION
300 West 57th Street
New York, New York 10019 3 Reporter and a Notary Public in and for the County of Pennington, State of South Dakota, at 9:30 a.m. 4 4 5 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT. 5 Central Time, on the 22nd day of February, 2022, SCOTT WRIGHT, ESQ.
FAEGRE, DRINKER, BIDDLE & REATH, LLP
801 Grand Avenue pursuant to Notice and/or Stipulation, at the Law Offices of Woods, Fuller, Shultz & Smith, P.C., in 33rd Floor 8 Des Monies, Iowa 50309 8 the City of Sioux Falls, County of Minnehaha, State 9 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT. of South Dakota, counsel appearing on behalf of the STEVEN K. HUFF, ESQ.
MARLOW, WOODWARD & HUFF, PLLC
200 West 3rd Street 10 10 respective parties as hereinbefore indicated.) 11 11 Yankton, South Dakota 57078 12 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. The time is APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPONENT. 13 13 9:30 a.m. Central Time on Tuesday, February 22nd, STEVEN S. BISS, ESQ.
LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN S. BISS 14 14 300 West Main Street Suite 102 15 15 This begins Media Unit Number 1 of the video Charlottesville, Virginia 22903 16 recorded Zoom deposition of Amanda --16 APPEARING REMOTELY ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF. 17 17 Is it Bathena? 18 18 THE DEPONENT: Bahena. Also Present: Anthony Numes, Jr. (Appearing Remotely)
Lori Numes (Appearing Remotely)
Cody Henderson (Videographer) 19 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Bahena. On one piece of (Appearing Remotely) 2.0 2.0 paper it's "Bathena". 21 21 -- Amanda Bahena, to be heard in the matter of 22 22 NuStar Farms, LLC, et al. versus Ryan Lizza, et al., 23 23 to be heard in the United States District Court for 24 24 the Northern District of Iowa, Case Number 25 5:20-cv-04003-CJW-MAR.

1 My name is Cody Henderson. I'm the 1 appeal. videographer. Cindy Pfingston is the court So I just wanted to get those on the record before you begin. Sorry for interrupting. reporter. 3 Would Counsel please state their appearance for 4 MS. HAUSER: No, no, understood. And by your 5 the record. 5 objection, I understand you're not going to object MR. BISS: I'm Steve Biss. I represent the question by question. plaintiffs, and in the combined action I represent MR. BISS: Yeah, that's a good -- that's a Devin Nunes. pretty good point. MS. HAUSER: Kristen Hauser. I represent the The other thing that -- that I'm concerned defendants in both actions. With me are Nathaniel about, um, is the -- is -- is any attempt to elicit, 10 10 11 Boyer, also from the Hearst Office of General 11 um, opinion testimony from -- from this witness. 12 Counsel, and Scott Wright from the Faegre firm. 12 Um. can you and I agree, so that -- that I don't THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Beautiful. have to keep objecting, can you and I agree that any 13 13 And we'll turn it over to the court reporter to objections as to, um, opinion testimony are, I don't 14 14 15 swear the witness. need to object every time, that they're all 16 MR. HUFF: Sure. Also Steve Huff here on 16 preserved for the record? Or do you want me just to 17 Ms. Bahena's behalf. 17 object every time I -- I find -- I find a question 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. 18 objectionable? MR. HUFF: You're welcome. 19 MS. HAUSER: I think you should make your 19 20 AMANDA J. BAHENA, 20 objections on the record as to questions, as to a witness being first duly sworn, was examined and 21 particular questions. I was just understanding your 22 testified on her oath as follows: 22 global objection to the -- to the Court's order as 23 EXAMINATION 23 something you were not going to object to going 24 BY MS. HAUSER: 24 through. Good morning, Ms. Bahena. 25 Does that make sense? 25 O

6

1 A Good morning.

2 Q Would you please state your full name for the

4 A My full legal name is Amanda Joy Bahena Arteaga.

- 5 Q Thank you. And are you currently employed?
- 6 A Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- 7 Q And where are you employed?
- 8 A Woods, Fuller, Shultz & Smith, PC, law firm.
- 9 Q And are you --

10 MR. BISS: Kristen, just -- Kristen, just
11 before you go any further, I just want to put on the
12 record two matters.

Number one is I'm going to mark this entire deposition "Counsels' Eyes Only" pursuant to the protective order that has been entered in the NuStar case. I'm not sure it's been entered in the Devin Nunes case, but regardless, I'm going to mark the deposition as "Counsels' Eyes Only". That's number

one.

Number two, I'm going to renew our objection to the taking of the deposition, to the asking of any questions on the grounds of attorney/client privilege. However, I recognize that the judge has overruled that objection, so I'm merely stating that objection to preserve it in the event we need to

MR. BISS: It does. I'll just object as I see $\label{eq:BISS:eq} \text{fit. Thank you.}$

3 MS. HAUSER: Thanks.

4 Q (By Ms. Hauser) Ms. Bahena, are you a shareholder in 5 the Woods Fuller firm?

6 A Yes, Iam.

7 Q And when -- how long have you been a shareholder, 8 approximately?

9 A That's a good question. I don't know. Un, let's
10 see, I would say approximately three years, maybe
11 four.

12 Q Have you ever been deposed before?

13 **A No.**

14 Q Have you taken depositions before?

15 A Yes.

16 Q $\,$ Um, you understand that it will help the court

17 reporter if you and I don't speak over each other 18 today and you wait for my question to be finished

today and you wait for my question to be finished

19 before you answer?

20 A Yes.

21 $\,$ Q $\,$ $\,$ Is there anything, any reason you believe you can't

22 testify truthfully today?

23 **A No.**

24 Q And today you're appearing pursuant to a subpoena?

25 A Correct.

1 0 And do you have -- what is your understanding of the document produced by Plaintiffs bearing the Bates 1 lawsuit pursuant -- excuse me, what is your number PX4139 through PX4148. understanding of the lawsuit that you're giving And, Steve, I've marked it as 120 because I testimony in today? believe that gives us a gap from the last 5 MR. BISS: Object to the form. deposition. It makes looking up the exact number --6 MR. BOYER: Yeah, let's go with 120. I'm THE DEPONENT: Should I answer? almost positive that's fine. MR. HUFF: Yeah, yeah. MR. BISS: So we're going to call it 120? 8 9 I'm not very familiar with the lawsuit. I've seen MS. HAUSER: I think so, yeah. some of the pleadings, mostly related to whether or 10 10 MR. BOYER: Yes, let's do that. 11 not privilege was an issue, but I have not been 11 MR. BISS: Okay. following it closely at all. My understanding is 12 12 0 (Bv Ms. Hauser) And, Ms. Bahena, the court reporter there was an article published by your clients that just handed you a document, Exhibit 120. Does this 13 13 now the Nunes family is suing your clients for libel refresh your recollection that Mrs. Lori Nunes sent 14 14 or related issues there. Um you a different article by e-mail? Yes. I think we, over the phone call she mentioned 16 0 Have you -- so you've looked at some of the 16 **A** 17 pleadings; is that correct? 17 the first e-mail, the esquire, or, sorry, she mentioned the first esquire article, and I was able 18 **A** Same, not very many. 18 to easily find that online. And then she e-mailed 19 Um, and you're -- and you said you're aware of the 19 me a follow-up piece from a different -- from the 20 Court's order permitting this deposition to happen? 20 21 **A** Federalist later. 22 Q And did you sign the protective order at some point? 22 And do you recall when Mrs. Nunes first called you 23 A about the article -- the Esquire article?

24 A

25 0

12

I don't recall the date.

congressman, former congressman Devin Nunes' libel

And have you read any of the Eighth Circuit's

opinions in the related matter concerning

Not that I recall.

24 Q

Α

25

Did you read the esquire article that is the subject of this lawsuit?

I -- yes. I -- if it's the article that I'm 6 thinking of, um, Lori Nunes or someone had initially sent that to me shortly after the publication, and I read it at that time. Yes.

MS. HAUSER: So I've -- and for Mr. Biss, we've 10 handed the witness what has been previously marked as plaintiff's exhibit 1. 12

Yes, I read this article. 13 **A**

(By Ms. Hauser) And do you recall that Mrs. Nunes 14 15 sent you this article?

16 **A** I believe she e-mailed it to me, if I recall 17 correctly. It may have been that she told me about it and I found it myself. 18

MS. HAUSER: I'm waiting on a number. 19

20 MR. BOYER: Yeah. 21

MS. HAUSER: Exhibit 120?

MR. BOYER: Exhibit 120, yeah.

23 Let's mark this as Defendants' Exhibit 120.

(Exhibit 120 marked for identification.)

MS. HAUSER: Um, and for the record, this is a 25

the article was published?

After. I found it online.

And if I represented to you that the article was published on or around September 30th, 2018 online,

Was it -- do you know whether it was before or after

um, do you recall whether Mrs. Nunes called you that

day or the subsequent day or --

I don't remember. It would have been after it was available online because I would have found it online.

Have you read any other news articles -- have you 10 11 read any news articles about this lawsuit?

12 **A** I couldn't say with certainty. When they were talking about taking my deposition, I assume I --13 I -- yes, I Googled something, and I don't remember 14 if anything came up about the lawsuit or not.

16 Nothing in depth.

17 Have you discussed this lawsuit with anyone other 18 than your lawvers?

I -- just my husband that I had to get my deposition 19 20

21 0 Have you reviewed any social media posts about this 22

23 A Not that I remember. I don't have Twitter or Facebook, so ...

25 MR. BISS: Good for you. Good for you.

1 0 (By Ms. Hauser) Have you discussed this lawsuit or any of the claims with any of the Nunes? No. And I should correct, I -- I have Facebook. My account is deactivated, if that becomes a thing. 5 MR. HUFF: My Space? THE DEPONENT: Maybe I have an account somewhere. Um... (By Ms. Hauser) Generally, are you able -- would you 8 please describe your law practice at Woods Fuller? Yes. I have a combination of principally 10 11 immigration law and estate planning. Depending on the political environment and the time of the year 12 impacts how much time I spend on each. 13 Being in a small town, I also do some corporate 14 16 17 And, um, when you mentioned, um, the political

work, um, and a little bit of this and that, but generally it's estate planning and immigration law.

environment -- when you mentioned that the political environment affects how much of, you devote to your practice to one area or the other, what did you mean by that?

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

4

5

6

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

22 **A**

Um, when there's proposed legislation changes or new legislation in either immigration law or estate tax. client demands swing, so the practice works out well to have both because when it's quieter in

don't op out and do anymore, um, like, legal rights clinics or anything like that.

3 Ω And, um, do you advise employers on the rights of immigrants?

5 **A**

1

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

Ο Maybe that's a bad question.

Α Yeah, I'm not following the question.

Um, do you advise employers concerning their

employees' immigration status?

Not really. If an employee -- if an employer calls 10 Α me, sometimes this happens, and says, "I have an employee who I think is undocumented," I say, "If you're aware that he's, he or she is undocumented, you need to terminate that employee." And then the call usually ends there. And I say, "You should -you know, you can terminate them and you can advise them to go talk to an immigration lawyer." But that's as far as that goes.

And so as between, you know, you said you represent 19 20 unaccompanied minors and businesses. About how much 21 of your immigration work would you say is advising

businesses versus advising immigrants?

Um, right now I would say it's probably 60 percent working with businesses on bringing in employees, but a lot of times those are joint representations

immigration because there's no changes or no -- no court going on, um, often it's busier in estate planning. So they balance each other well.

Ο And what's the nature of your immigration practice?

It's evolved over the years. Currently I do a lot of work with, um, businesses looking to, um, sponsor employees for permanent residency.

I also locally focus on working with unaccompanied minors. I just get a lot of satisfaction with that. And there's good options for them and they're not able to go to larger cities where there's more immigration lawvers.

I do a little bit of family petitions but less and less of that because as I get busier, I am able to refer those out to Omaha or Minneapolis or Des Moines.

And I do very little, um, in immigration court anymore just because with my family and the scheduling, um, that that requires, it became too stressful, so I refer those out as well to the cities.

Do you advise on the rights of immigrant -- do you advise immigrants on the rights of immigrants?

I will do initial -- I do initial consultations where immigrants want to know their options, but I where I represent both the business and the employees that we are bringing in.

Q And what -- in general, you know, what types of businesses do you advise in that capacity?

Oh, all over, universities, hospitals, agricultural 5 **A** businesses, um, manufacturing.

Um, are there any other aspects of your immigration practice that you haven't already explained?

Occasionally I do citizenship applications. Α

Is there any part of your immigration practice 10 that's considered, that you would consider pro bono? 11

12 **A** I do same for, um, damestic violence victims and occasionally for some of the unaccompanied minors, but we generally do that more low bono so we're able to spread out our services to more people who need them.

17 When we were talking earlier about the political 18 environment and how that balances out your practice, 19 um, how do political concerns affect your

20 immigration practice?

Any time there's proposed legislation, legislative 21 22 changes or a new program, um, or actual changes, it drives -- first of all, it's in the news so people 23 are thinking about it. There may be new options for people where there weren't options before, or people 25

13

14

may be concerned that enforcement is stepping up and 1 they want to review where they're at and if their options have changed, or sometimes they want to quickly move on something because they're concerned 5 it won't be available later. 6 Were any specific concerns at issue affecting your immigration practice in 2018? There were concerns that enforcement would be 8 higher, certainly, and that, um, it -- that review of petitions may become stricter, that review of 10 11 petitions may take longer, um, a lot of just general questions with the new administration of what would 12 this look like. And there was, um, among both 13 employers and immigrants, just concerns about not 14 knowing how the new administration would handle things just because of news clips and what was said 16 by people in the administration. 17 18 Ο And just to clarify, by the new administration, are you speaking of the presidential change --19 20 **A** 21 0 -- in 2016?

talk to me about clarification. "What does this mean? Are all immigrants going to get deported?" It's things like that. So sometimes they would just come to me to ask, "I heard this in the news." What does that mean?" And it would be me explaining the system and how it works.

0 And -- and generally how does the system work?

The immigration system?

Well, in general, how would it work at the point of 9 10 enforcement?

11 **A** Um, well, it depends on the situation. Do you mean for employers or --12

13 For employers, yes.

1

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Okay, for employers, okay, Um, for employers, if someone in Immigration Customs Enforcement, ICE, has reason to believe that an employer may be knowingly employing undocumented workers or have a large. knowing or unknowingly have a large percentage of their workers who are undocumented, in our area they may start looking into the matter. And they can come and ask for your I-9 forms. If it's a serious, if they have serious, serious concerns, they can get authorization to immediately come onto the property and interview workers, inspect documents and determine if there's not -- if there's not only

immigration at the federal level, then that can turn into changes pretty quickly.

Immigration law is federal, so any time there's a

change at the federal level, or lots of talk about

3 0 And do you recall any -- you said enforcement might be higher. Do you recall any specific employer

concerns in that regard generally?

Yes.

TIm --

23 0

25

6 Α

8

10

12

13

25

24 **A**

I think I just had maybe comments from current clients of whether things would still be an option, if the path they were on would still work, um, if there would be more, um, workplace raids, things like that. I live in a small town, so people generally work side by side with their employees. So when employees, immigrant employees are nervous. it makes employers reach out to me sometimes.

14 0 Any other concerns, general concerns from employers 15

16 Not that I recall specifically or could share about

17 specific employers.

Understood. And when you said there was concern 18 0 19 about enforcement, enforcement by whom?

20 **A**

21 0 And do you mean more frequent ICE enforcement

22

Honestly a lot of the people who I talk to don't 23 A know the -- they don't know how immigration works. So they just hear things in the news, so they would undocumented employees but if the employer is knowingly hiring them. So they're the enforcement arm of the portion of the immigration code that requires workers -- or, sorry, employers to ensure through the I-9 form process essentially that their employees are authorized to work in the United States.

8 So it sounded like you described. I'm going to summarize it as two tiers. You have a tier of where TCE would just ask for I-9 forms, and then you have a tier where there's a more serious concern and that leads to a deeper investigation; is that fair?

13 **A**

10

12

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

Α

Um, does ICE -- when ICE, excuse me, asks for, in the -- in the, I'll call it the lower tier, what are the criteria that would lead ICE to ask for I-9 forms?

MR. BISS: Object to the form, speculation, opinion testimony.

I -- I can't say with legal certainty. And I'm not a trained ICE officer, but the way I explain to people is it could be, um, repeated detention of unauthorized workers who say, "I work at this and that place," you know, and they're seeing the same workers over and over.

Um, it could be criminal -- law enforcement contact, so an officer could say, "We keep seeing undocumented workers at this location" and suggest that they look into it.

> Um, it can be tips from the public; although, I don't know if that's true, if they would follow up on any tip.

> And it could be, yeah, news articles and things in the media that would draw ICE's attention and make them question if they should go there and ask to review their I-9s.

Q (By Ms. Hauser) And in -- in -- in such a situation, you said ICE would look at their I-9s. Would they also look at copies of supporting documents if they existed?

16 A They can --

1

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

22

23

1

17 MR. BISS: Objection, speculation.

A They can require certain supporting documentation, but, um, under the statute what needs to be immediately provided are copies of I-9s for all current employees and the ones that need to be retained under the statute for terminated and former employees.

24 Q (By Ms. Hauser) And if there were blanks on 25 section 2 of an I-9 form, would ICE then look to concepts you were describing as something called ICE work site enforcement?

3 A Yes

4 Q And can those I-9 -- would it be an I-9 audit when 5 they come in on that first tier and look at your 6 documents?

A Yeah.

8 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.
9 Q (By Ms. Hauser) And can those I-9 audits, in your
10 experience, lead to civil and even criminal
11 penalties?

12 A Yes.

MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.

MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.

MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.

My understanding is ICE will run the information on the I-9s through their database and they come with a list to say, "These are the workers whose names and Social Security numbers either do not match or showed -- did not show up as an authorized worker." And then there's follow-up after that.

24 Q (By Ms. Hauser) Is one of the points of follow-up 25 that the employer could lose those workers?

1

22

18

19

20

21

22

2.4

supporting documents?

A They can do that.

3 MR. BISS: Objection, speculation, inadmissible 4 opinion.

5 A If -- if the supporting documents are included with
6 the I-9 form, especially, yes, that has been my
7 experience. More I would have to research I guess
8 if it came up.

9 Q (By Ms. Hauser) And in your second tier where you
10 talked about ICE entering the property, interviewing
11 workers, inspecting documents, what, in your
12 experience, are the serious concerns that would lead
13 to that type of ICE intervention?

14 A I can't speak to that with confidence because I
15 haven't ever been involved in an ICE raid at that
16 level.

level.

And just asking generally, not asking about any
specific clients, have you been involved advising
employers when ICE has come in and asked to look at
their I-9 forms on a general level?

21 A Yes. To a limited extent, yes.

22 Q Have you done so in the last five years?

23 A I don't know the exact time frame. It's been awhile 24 because they haven't done them during COVID.

25 Q Um, and would it be fair to describe your, the

MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.

2 A It's possible if it ends up in further investigation
3 or admissions from the workers that they are not
4 authorized, then they would need to terminate those
5 workers. And typically they work out with the
6 government a schedule for doing so, if the workers
7 are willing to stay on.

8 Q (By Ms. Hauser) Um, and even without, you know, a
9 raid, um, an employer can lose workers if it's
10 determined or realized that the worker was using

invalid documents?

12 **A** I --

13

20

MR. BISS: Objection, speculation.

14 A I guess Idon't --

15 MR. BISS: Inadmissible opinion.

16 A I don't understand the question.

17 Q (By Ms. Hauser) Sure. Is it possible for an
18 employer to lose workers if those workers had
19 presented invalid documents?

MR. BISS: Same objections.

21 A In what situation?

22 Q (By Ms. Hauser) In the context of an ICE work site 23 enforcement.

24 A Um, I mean, the workers, if — if the workers know 25 that they're unauthorized, they may get nervous and

just leave. I mean, I suppose so. employers are -- that -- I don't even remember who 1 1 asked me to speak, but they said that ag employers And have -- and the employer could learn that the workers are unauthorized and have to terminate them; want to hear about ways of looking for more employees, I guess, because it's a struggle to find workers for everyone. 5 Α Either through --MR. BISS: Objection, calls for speculation. Um, and I said, "Should I speak much" -- I think I asked, "Do you need a lot of I-9 type talk?" Δ Either through, um, information coming from ICE based on review or if the employee -- if the And they said, "Well, Brent Stanley from ICE will be employee -- employer -- employee admissions is there." And I said, "He'll probably cover that q usually how that turns -- comes out. then." I don't remember, there may have been a 10 10 11 THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. Can you just 11 slide or two, because I probably say -- and I please let him get his objection out before vou always -- I always have a slide on it, "Employers, 12 12 you have to know that also. You need to be filling 13 starting answering? 13 out I-9s." But I knew that Brett Stanley was 14 THE DEPONENT: Uh-huh. 14 already speaking on that, so I wouldn't have gone 15 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. into much depth. Um --16 (By Ms. Hauser) Are there any other circumstances 16 17 besides employee admissions or obtaining information What did you mean by low employment (sic) situation? Oh. T -- just nationwide, um, with, um, during and 18 from TCE in which an employer would learn that its 18 employees are not authorized to work in the United after COVID, just employers in all sectors have been 19 19 contacting me about ways to fill needs because 20 20 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion, 21 there's just a lot of demand from employers in all 22 22 sectors right now. Um... 23 A In the context of ICE coming in? 23 Um, do you know -- and this was a discussion for --(By Ms. Hauser) Yes. 24 the audience were dairy farmers; is that right? 24 Q Um, Idon't know. I don't know if it was -- yeah, I think it was a 25 **A** 25 A

Do you know if it was recorded?

Um. T dan't know.

dairy alliance, yeah. Um, I would have basically

reused one that I use for all agricultural employers though because it's a lot of line -- it's a lot in

line. So it was kind of -- it wasn't WIDA. It may

something that asked me to do it. I didn't charge

for it and I just did it by Zoom, so I said, "Okay,

I'll do that. If I can appear by Zoom, no problem."

Um, and, again, just asking briefly: You said there

the employer and their operation. I've had one

were temporary visas options. What are those

have been the Iowa State Dairy Extension or

1 0 Um, did you present at a conference to agriculture and farmers in October of 2021 -- or November of 20212

4 **A** Yes, by Zoom.

6

8

And what was the subject of your discussion? 5 Q

> Immigration options and issues for agriculture employers, or something like that. I was asked by the, um, like the Agriculture Outreach Office or, of Iowa state or the 4H, something similar, someone had asked me to present on that, so I did that.

10

11 And were other -- you presented -- were there other presenters at that conference? 12

Yeah. The only one I remember is Brett Stanley from 13 A the Sioux City ICE office. I just appeared for my 14 15 presentation by Zoom.

16 0 And did you attend Mr. Stanley's presentation?

17 Α

25

And what was the nature of your presentation? 18

We ran through, um, temporary and -- like temporary 19 visas that agriculture employers may be able to use 20 21 to sponsor workers to meet needs that they can't 22 fill with the current low unemployment situation, and then also ways to sponsor immigrants for green 23

cards to meet those -- to fill gaps, was the main thing. That's what I was asked, that they said that

options for employers? How in depth do you want me to go? 14 **A** 15 16 Um, TN visas, H-2A seasonal ag visas, and for some, but probably -- I don't know, in dairies it's rare, 17 18 would be an H-1 B. 19 And all of these are available to dairy farms? 20 **A** Dairy -- dairy farms in our area generally use TN 21 visas. Um, it's -- H-2As don't work for milkers 22 typically but if they have crop farming. So we just went into the different scenarios. So it depends on

H-1 B at a dairy farm. 25

23

1

10 **A**

11

12

1 A

5

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

25

1 0 Is it fair to say, you know, decades ago there used to be a more robust visa program for immigrant workers to work in agricultural, something called the Bracero's program?

5

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

8

10

11

14 **A**

15

16

17

18

19

I have almost no familiarity of that because I didn't practice then. And most people who could get through that, there was a SAW; there was a Bracero's, however you want to call it. Um, some people -- yeah, so very rarely will it come with someone who's eligible for that who hasn't already taken advantage of that come across my practice, so my knowledge of those programs are quite limited.

13 0 And what's the -- what are the contours of the TN visa? Again speaking super generally. 14

> So the TN visa -- I actually, myself, don't do a lot of TNs because there is someone in Minneapolis who does a lot of TNs for the dairies in that area. Um, it seems to work well for them, so often I will say. "This may be an option for you," and I'll refer them to him.

But on a dairy, TNs typically do animal science type work. The job has to require a bachelor's degree in the field, and there's certain job categories you can bring someone in on. Um, so typically you're looking at the animal breeder,

So you can sponsor someone straight for permanent. residency if you determine what wage you need to pay them and you advertise the positions and there's not enough workers. And that can be almost any position, not enough U.S. workers.

Um, I do alert dairies, they struggle with one of the -- one of the, um, hurdles to that is these would likely be workers you've never employed before, so you don't get to test them out. It's a two-and-a-half to three-and-a-half to four or longer vear process. Um, and at some -- towards the end of the process there's an ability-to-pay requirement. which, depending on the dairy market at the time and how the dairy does their tax returns, they may not be able to meet, because if you haven't employed the worker before, it's very dependent on tax filings, which with dairies that can be a struggle because they have accelerated depreciation, so some dairies just may not be able to use that program. For -technically -- it gets in the weeds, but yeah, it's not an option for everyone.

When you were contacted by Lori Nunes in the fall of 2018, was any part of -- were you asked any questions about any of these visa programs?

I don't remember. 25 A

32

animal science type jobs if you're talking dairies. and the worker needs to be from Mexico or Canada.

3 Q Um, and I think you said the H-2A seasonal visas, they're for crop farming?

Α They're for seasonal work, so the work must -- the job must be seasonal. It's a little more nuanced than that. I don't do H-2As. I refer those out as well because -- so this was more to alert people to options. And a lot of times if they say, "I'm looking at a TN or I'm looking at an H-2A," I

And you said the H- -- and what are the parameters 12 Ω

13

The H-1 B is also, the job needs to require a bachelor's decree. And it has to be a specialty occupation. I guess that's what that is called. And for the H-1 B there's a lottery, so it's less likely, even if you qualify, that you'll get it. So TNs in our area are more popular.

have -- I will refer them to the appropriate...

20 O Um, and then you also said there's a -- you talked 21 about permanent visa sponsorships; is that correct?

22 Α

23 0 And what would those parameters be as applied to -or what did you talk about those parameters as 25 applied to dairy farmers?

MR. BISS: Object to the form.

(By Ms. Hauser) Um, and just going back to the -the previous discussion about the permanent visa option -- or permanent resident option, why would the dairies have -- you suggested that the dairies would have to hire new workers; is that right?

Correct. If sameone is here undocumented, um, then you wouldn't -- they wouldn't be able to go through this process in, I mean, 99 percent of cases because you can't go from undocumented status to permanent resident status in the U.S. through an employer petition. You would have to go outside the U.S. for your interview. And at that point if the individual had been in the U.S. for more than a year under unlawful status, they would have most likely a ten-year inadmissibility bar to come back.

And I think you said -- and so how does that -- have you seen that program applied to dairies before? Have you seen dairy --

MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion. 21 Um, I've -- I've worked with dairies to petition

employees for green cards who were on TNs or H-1 Bs previously and, um, to try to employ people as milkers who have never worked for them but that we've hit roadblocks typically in that situation,

largely on ability to pay problems or because the 1 1 speculation worker has never been in the U.S. and, um, and, um, It depends on the sector. the connection and inability to make sure that, you (By Ms. Hauser) How about in dairy farms? 3 know, interviewing them and making sure they'd be a MR. BISS: Same objections. 5 fit is -- is not there. 5 **A** I -- I -- I couldn't speak to all to -- to dairy 6 (By Ms. Hauser) So would it be fair in your farms. I mean, the ones that I've been on, there's a lot of latinos. I don't know where they were experience that not many dairies take, use this 8 opportunity? 9 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion. 9 (By Ms. Hauser) Are you aware of any recent I can't say to all dairies. We've had success 10 10 legislative proposals to allow undocumented workers 11 moving people from TNs and H-1 Bs to green cards. 11 in agriculture to obtain some kind of status to Um, I can't say if other dairies have had success 12 12 allow them to remain and work in the U.S.? using the green card program to bring in milkers. Yes. I haven't even looked into them that closely 13 13 **A** because experience tells me not to get your hopes up Um, the ones that we've tried have not panned out 14 14 or plan anything or anything like that until the President signs it. 16 (By Ms. Hauser) Do you have a general understanding 16 17 that agriculture in -- in northwest Iowa has 17 THE COURT REPORTER: Until what? THE DEPONENT: The President signs it. 18 undocumented workers, have undocumented workers in 18 19 the workforce generally? 19 20 MR. BISS: Objection, relevance, inadmissible 20 (By Ms. Hauser) And would it be fair to say that a 21 21 part of the problem in this area is that federal law 22 There is a percentage of undocumented workers in the 22 hasn't been updated in the last several decades to 23 workforce in agriculture in northwest Iowa. 23 provide a path for farms and dairies to get work (By Ms. Hauser) Have you --24 visas for their workers? 24 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. 25 MR. BISS: Objection, speculation, inadmissible 25

1

34

opinion.

1 0 (By Ms. Hauser) Is there any --MR. HUFF: Hold on. She lost her microphone. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. (By Ms. Hauser) Do you have an understanding of what that percentage may be? 5 6 A MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion. 8 (By Ms. Hauser) Have you ever read any news or studies suggesting what the percentage of undocumented workers is in the agriculture? 10 Not that I remember, a percentage or actual study, 11 12 13 0 Are you aware that that subject does get studied 14 from time to time by -- by universities or -- or -or the U.S. Government? 15 16 **A** I would imagine. I'm not involved in the studies 17 and I haven't seen them. 18 0 In your experience -- excuse me, does your 19 experience give you any basis to estimate what that 20 percentage might be? No. That would be impossible. 21 **A** 22 Do you have a general understanding that the 23 workforce in agriculture in northwest Iowa is comprised primarily of foreign born workers?

MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion,

25

Could you ask the question again? 3 MS. HAUSER: Can you read it back? 4 0 (By Ms. Hauser) If you still can't understand it, I'll restate it. (Testimony read back as follows: O. "And would it be fair to say that a part of the problem in this area is that federal law hasn't been updated in the last several decades to provide a path for farms and dairies to get work visas for their 10 12 **A** Well, you're asking my opinion here. I would like 13 (By Ms. Hauser) And is that consistent with your you 14 don't want to get your hopes up comment earlier? 16 **A** Yes. 17 So if many of these workers cannot get valid visas 18 to work on farms and in the dairy industry, are they simply allowed to work without proper documentation? 19 20 MR. BISS: Object to the form, speculation, 21 inadmissible opinion. 22 It depends on the employer. 23 0 (By Ms. Hauser) Um, so in your experience, again, without delving into your relationship, specific

client relationships, um, what is your understanding

1 of how workers fill out I-9s if they are 1 undocumented? MR. BISS: Objection, speculation, inadmissible 4 5 If they're undocumented? They may refuse to fill 5 **A** out the I-9 or be unable to because they don't have the correct documentation. If an employer would call me with that question, I would say, "You can't 8 employ that person until they bring in the correct 10 documentation." They may provide fraudulent 10 11 documentation, either someone else's identification 11 **A** or, um, like, um, fake documents that they purchased 12 12 to show the employer and use those to fill out the 13 13 14 14 In your experience advising employers, have you ever 15 16 been asked to review identification documents 16 17 provided by employees --17 18 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion. 18 I've never been asked to review the originals. 19 19

at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1, which is the Esquire article, and if you could turn at the page on the bottom it says "Lizza," I think it says 00525. Um, are you at that page?

Um, if you look at the first full paragraph, it says, quote, "Eighty percent of the Latino population out here in northwest Iowa is undocumented."

Do you see that sentence?

Yes.

20

22

3

4

10

12

13

14

15

21

Um. is that consistent with your experience in practicing immigration law?

MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.

To me that would be an overestimate and exaggeration.

> (By Ms. Hauser) And if you go down a little, the end of the next line, it said -- sorry, the third line down, at the end it says -- sorry, the fourth line down, "We have a very tight labor pool around here." is that what you were referring to earlier when you said, you know: We had low unemployment?

23 A Yeah. We live in --

24 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible -objection, inadmissible opinion. 25

limitations on what you can do at that point in asking for originals and things because you can get into discrimination area.

(By Ms. Hauser) So you've reviewed copies?

Copies, photocopies. But I'll hedge this with: Um,

at that point -- how do I say this -- the -- the

document -- the photocopy is what it is, or they've

already gone through the I-9 process, and there's

employee has either been terminated, so the

So generally what I do is rather than focusing on the old documents provided, I advise them how to use best practices going forward. But if there's blatant dovious documents from the photocopies, it depends on the audit, if they've asked me to review them or not, but I may suggest that this one is not correct, more likely than not it's -- it's, um, like not the connect document, like it's not an A, B or C document on an I-9 form, which I would say, "Follow up with this. It's not the right type of document that they provided to you."

And assuming that the employee has not provided a type A document, um, there needs to be both then a type B or a type C document presented, correct?

18 Α Correct.

20 0

22

23

24

25

1

6

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

MR. BISS: Objection, speculation, inadmissible 19 20

(By Ms. Hauser) And that's right on the form I-9 and 21 22 its instructions, correct?

23 A Charact.

MR. BISS: Same objections.

(By Ms. Hauser) If you -- can you take a peek back 25

1 A We live in a rural area with a lot of industry, so that would be for every employer in our area.

(By Ms. Hauser) The previous page, 524, um, the very 0 bottom of the page says, quote: In every conversation I had with dairy farmers and industry insiders in northwest Iowa, it was taken as a fact that the local dairies are wholly dependent on undocumented labor. The low unemployment rate (it's 2 percent in this county), and et cetera, et cetera, make hiring outside of the readily available pool of immigrants from Mexican and Guatemala unthinkable.

northwest Iowa practicing immigration law? MR. BISS: Objection, speculation, inadmissible

Is that consistent with your experience in

16 **A** I -- I think that's an exaggeration.

17 (By Ms. Hauser) But you'll agree that at least some, 18 there is some percentage of undocumented workers 19 working on dairy farms in northwest Iowa?

20 **A** There is some percentage.

MR. BISS: Objection, speculation, inadmissible

23 0 (By Ms. Hauser) Based on your experience?

24 **A**

25 0 Um, on Page 525 again, in the second full paragraph,

1 um, it says, quote, "The farmer explained that all the dairies require their workers to provide evidence of their legal status and pay the required state and federal taxes. But it's an open secret that the system is built on easily obtained fraudulent documents." Is that statement consistent with your

experience in northwest Iowa practicing immigration

I haven't had --10 Α

q

11 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.

I haven't had those exact conversations with dairy 12 **A** farmers, so, um, I would say my experience is that 13 they do request documents. What -- the rest I would 14 not be able to say.

16 (By Ms. Hauser) And we talked earlier and you said 17 one way that undocumented workers may provide forms to their employer is to provide fake documents; is 18 19 that fair to say?

20 **A**

21 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion. 22 (By Ms. Hauser) And that's, again, based on your 23 experience in practicing immigration law in 24 northwest Iowa?

MR. BISS: Same objections. 25

about this article, and then I was able to find it when she was telling me about it.

And what did she say on that phone call? 3 0

4

5

10

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 0

4

16

17

18

19

20 21

23

25

I don't remember, other than, um, I think who she was and that -- I mean, what detail I don't know, and, um, that she, not using exact words, but got my name from another dairy family she knows who I'd helped. Um, I had come and done, like reviewed their paperwork or something. I don't know what term she used.

11 0 Anything else you remember about the phone call?

12 I remember Lori being upset and more so just how she was portrayed in the article. And then I read the article. Um, I don't remember more than that.

What did you say on the initial phone call?

I don't remember. I don't remember if she'd asked me at that time to come out to review her paperwork or if she had asked if there was anything that I could help her with to make sure she was doing things right.

At some point -- there was a couple of calls, I think. And at some point, either -- I offered to come out and see if there was anything I could do to put her at ease. She just seemed really anxious about the whole article, um, and -- and, um, it just

1 **A** Yes.

> MS. HAUSER: Let's go off the record for five minutes, ten minutes.

> MR. BISS: No problem. Do you want to take

a -- do you want to take a break until, let's say,

MS. HAUSER: That's fine with me, Steve.

8 MR. BISS: Okav.

MR. BOYER: That's fine.

MS. HAUSER: That's great. Thank you. 10

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This ends Media Unit

12 No. 1 of the video recorded deposition of Amanda 13 Bahena, to be continued on Media Unit No. 2.

14 Going off the record at 10:34 Central Time.

15 (Recess taken.)

16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This begins Media Unit No. 2

17 in the video recorded Zoom deposition of Amanda 18

19 We are back on the record at 10:54 Central

20

23 A

25

21 (By Ms. Hauser) Ms. Bahena, when did you first

22 communicate with anyone at NuStar Farms?

> I don't remember the exact date, but it would have been after the article was published, because they called me, Iori called me, I believe, to tell me

kind of developed over the phone from there.

Do you recall how long that first phone call was?

I don't recall. Not extremely long. It was not --3 to my recollection, it wasn't a scheduled consult.

She called and happened to get to me, which

typically then it would be a shorter conversation because I would have to make sure we didn't have conflicts of interest or anything in representing

them before I could give them legal advice.

So I take it you did not dole out any legal advice 10 11 on that initial phone call?

No, not to my -- no, I wouldn't have done that. 12 A

And you said there were a couple of phone calls.

When was the next phone call? 14

only one time.

I don't remember. It wasn't -- with the article and everything and her being anxious, I feel the calls were more her being upset of how she was portrayed in the article, um, and I don't remember exactly how it developed that I was there at their dairy later, um, reviewing I-9 forms with them. The exact number of calls or anything, I don't remember. The first time -- I just know the first time I met her and really started talking with her about things was when we were physically at the dairy, and that was

1 0 And do you have any understanding of why she called you, an immigration lawyer, if she was just anxious about how she was portrayed in the article?

She said, not in these exact words, but she's been 5 trying to do things right but wasn't -- just wanted to make sure she wasn't messing things up. Not in those words, but...

That was the sum and substance of your conversation? 8

Α Yes, yes, that she was trying to do things right but q she wanted to make sure she wasn't getting into 10 11 trouble or messing any things up, anything up.

12 0 And getting into trouble concerning documenting 13

I -- reviewing the article, just making sure that 14 **A** she wasn't missing anything or doing things wrong as opposed -- I mean, when -- in her role in helping at 16 17

18 Ο But concerning employment practices at the dairy?

Yeah. I mean, she said: This article says we're --19 20 I mean, in not so many words, or maybe more: The 21 article says we're employing undocumented workers. I'm trying to do things -- I've been trying to do 22 things right, but I want to make sure there's not 23 2.4 anything that I've missed or I'm doing wrong. And she didn't want to cause problems for herself or what problems she was referring to?

MR. BISS: Object to the form.

1

3

5

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Like I said, I don't know if she used those words. That was the impression that I got, she was anxious, um, because of the news story that was national news. Um, she -- I think she wanted to make sure that she wasn't missing anything or doing anything, when she thought she was doing things right, that she wasn't missing anything. So I -- I didn't ask for specifics. I just -- I think they were fairly short phone calls. I read the articles, and then we arranged for me to come out there.

13 (By Ms. Hauser) And by "missing anything," did you have an understanding she meant missing anything in 14 terms of complying with immigration laws?

> At that point I didn't know -- when I went out to the dairy, I didn't know what situation I'd be walking into, if they had an T-9 form for anyone or almost no one. Um, I've been in situations where it's a family-run business and no one is trained in Human Resources, and, um, they don't know what an I-9 form is. So in my experience it's best to just say, "Prepare what you have and we'll -- we won't hash it over right now. We'll get there and we'll just see what kind of state everything is in."

1 her -- her family.

25

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

And she was speaking -- um, was she speaking about -- you said she didn't want to do anything wrong. Was it your understanding that she was performing tasks required to comply with immigration

> Over the phone I didn't ask all of the details. And a lot of times I'll get those calls and people think they're doing things right and you get to the site and things are not being done right. I don't --I -- I didn't get into details. I just -- at some point I would have said, "Well, let's look through the documents that you -- that you guys are doing."

Um, based on those conversations on the call, I wasn't even sure who was preparing I-9s at the dairy. Um, I essentially reserved that for when we got there. And I said, you know, "Get your I-9s ready so that it's efficient when I come, and we'll just see what kind of state they're in when I get

18 19 20 21 Q When she said she didn't want to cause problems, the 22 article says we're employing -- and I appreciate you 23 were summarizing, but: The article says we're employing undocumented workers. I don't want to cause any problems, did you have an understanding of 25

1 0 Did she express to you on either phone call that she was concerned about government enforcement?

3 **A** Not that I recall.

Did she mention ICE on the phone call?

5 **A** Not that I remember.

0 And just to -- when you say not that you remember. you don't remember one way or another or you don't think it came up?

I don't remember one way or the other. It was -- it Α was -- I'm sure it was in the back of my head, but I 10 don't want to say it's because she said. I don't 11 know if she said it. I -- in my head I said: Oh. 12 this story could alert, um, ICE, so -- but I don't 14 know if she was even aware of that or said that.

Um, when you -- either from your phone calls or from 16 your visit, was Mrs. Nunes' concern about I-9s or 17 was she also referring to other things like W-2s or 18

wage and hour laws?

19

20 MR. BISS: Object to the form.

21 **A** I don't know. I only offered her the I-9s because I 22 don't review other types of documents.

(By Ms. Hauser) So it's fair -- is it fair to say 23 0 that the nature of your engagement was an 25 immigration consult?

Yes. Even more narrowly, an I-9 review. She wanted to look through her I-9s to make sure she was doing things right. And I said, "Well, if we're going to do this, you're under a microscope, why don't I come and help you with that because you can make things worse through a badly done internal I-9 review."

7 Q Is there such a thing as an internal I-9 review?

8 A Ch, yes. Many companies do them without the assistance of a lawyer.

10 Q And so that's what you meant by internal?

10 Q And so that's what you meant by internal?

11 A Yes, that she -- basically someone in the company,

12 an employee of the company, looks through, like any

13 documentation, and says: Do we have one for

14 everyone? Are these filled out correctly? But as

15 she wasn't HR trained, I had concerns that she may

16 have created more problems in doing an I-9 review

17 incorrectly, and I offered to come and help her with

18 that.

19 Q Did you send an engagement letter to the farm?

20 A Not that I recall.

21 $\,$ Q $\,$ $\,$ Is it part of your practice to send an engagement

22 letter to your clients?

23 A We generally do, but unfortunately I am not very 24 good about remembering to send engagement letters, 25 so I try to, but it does not always happen. 1 A I may have hand written some notes, like contact
2 information, but I did not keep them, just chicken
3 scratch.

4 Q Did you make any handwritten notes when you visited 5 the farm?

6 A I don't remember. I may have and then changed it
7 into the letter that I sent out. Um, but anything
8 in the notes I would have put in the letter I sent
9 out. And I -- I don't -- I couldn't find any notes
10 that I had retained.

11 Q If you look back at what we marked as Exhibit 120,

13 **A Uh-huh.**

14 Q -- um, do you know -- did you have any conversations
15 with Mrs. Nunes about this article, which is a
16 different article?

Yeah, I don't believe so, because I think at this
point I figured it was just kind of back and forth
between media people.

20 Q In response to the documents subpoena, what
21 specifically do you remember gathering and giving to
22 your partner?

23 A They ran with it. I think they said, "Is there
24 anything else that there would be out there?" So I
25 think I looked for "Nunes" in my -- in my e-mail

50 52

1 Q And your firm got a subpoena for documents at some 2 point?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Related to the plaintiffs?

5 A. Yes.

6 O And did you look for responsive documents?

7 A Yes.

14 A

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

8 Q And did you not find an engagement letter?

A I -- if it wasn't provided, I didn't find an
 engagement letter. I sent everything. I remember
 there weren't very many documents.

12 Q And when you said you sent everything, did you send

13 everything to Mr. Biss?

Well, my partner, Sander Morehead, was helping, um, gathering some of those. I don't think I was the one to send them. But we have everything in physical or electronic files under that person. So, um, they would have gone into the file for — I guess I don't know exactly how they gathered all of the documents, but they asked me if I thought there was anything else. And, no, I — they showed me what they were going to send. But that's been awhile.

24 Q Did you -- do you recall taking any notes of your 25 telephone calls with Mrs. Nunes? chain. Un, so there was this e-mail, the letter I sent to them after the audit. And I don't remember anything else.

4 Q Did your firm retain or make any copies of forms 5 I-9?

6 A No. Everything is -- all the documents stayed on 7 site and I didn't copy anything. On site being at 8 the Nunes dairy.

9 Q Is that consistent with your practice?

Yes. My practice, I don't make copies of I-9s. I'm not actually doing I-9 audits anymore because I wasn't asked to do that many in the start because we only have so many local businesses. Un, so it was picking up at that point. But yeah, I've never made — well, maybe one time someone brought me their I-9s and I said, "No, I'd rather do them at your place." But they brought them to me anyway. And I may have scanned them and given them back because I said, "I don't want your original I-9s here." But in all other occasions I'd go to them.

And picking up on something you said, you said it was picking up at that point. What — what did you mean by that?

24 A We had, um, a dairy in our area, or two, had been 25 audited on their I-9s. And I couldn't tell you the

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

dates. I was then contacted by a dairy association 1 MR. HUFF: Could I go off the record for a representative, or maybe -- do you know what, I second and just say to everybody: I understood from think it was just a nutritionist that went to all of Sander that that had been provided, but I don't these dairies to say: People are really concerned. know. I haven't seen --5 This was, um, new for them, this -- this type of THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. Are we off the enforcement. And they hadn't been trained in I-9s, record? um, so could I do kind of an emergency meeting. To MR. HUFF: I -- I just was trying -my knowledge this may have even -- I don't know how THE COURT REPORTER: You said "off the record." long Nunes has been in the area, but this was awhile If we're going off the record --MR. HUFF: I can keep it off. 10 10 11 Um, and so I gave a training on, just basics of 11 THE COURT REPORTER: -- the videographer --I-9s at that meeting, um, to some dairy families. MR. HUFF: Do you want to go off the record for 12 12 And after that, some asked me to come on site and 13 13 help them with their internal I-9 audits. So that's 14 14 MS. HAUSER: Let's go off the record for a kind of how that practice for me developed. So I moment, sure. would say -- does that answer your question? 16 16 MR. HUFF: Okay. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay, no problem. 17 Yes. And if we can pinpoint the point in time, do 17 you know if this was before or after the change in 18 18 Going -- going off the record at 11:15. 19 19 presidential administration in 2016? (Discussion off the record.) 20 **A** Before, I think. I think before. 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And we're back on the record And did it relate to a very public case regarding a 21 at 11:16 a.m. Central Time. 22 farmer named Mr. Millenkamp? 22 Q (By Ms. Hauser) I'm going to hand the court reporter

23

24

25

an exhibit that we're going to mark as Exhibit 121.

MR. BISS: Kristen, could you just identify it?

It bears the Bates stamp PX 4137 to 4138.

Mr. Millenkamp. I don't know if it was before or after because I'm not really familiar with that one. I think that may have been in eastern Iowa, which --5 0 -- is probably outside of your practice, geography anvwav. I think I'm placing that case. I think this was slightly before or around the same time. 8 9 Um, had you ever, prior to being contacted by Mrs. Nunes, conducted an I-9 audit in the context of 10 11 12 **A** 13 0 Um, do you know about how soon after visiting or 14 hearing -- talking to Mrs. Nunes and receiving the e-mail that's Exhibit 120 that you visited the farm? T don't remember. Did -- did vou get -- T did mean 16 **A** to ask: Did you get our invoice, the bill that we 17 18 sent for them? The date of the visit would be on that. It was part of the document production. 19 20 O I don't have that invoice. 21 MR. BOYER: Maybe we can see if we --22 (By Ms. Hauser) We can talk about it at a break. Yeah. If you have that, it's -- if not, we can get 23 that to you. But that would be one other document,

like the invoice that we sent for them for --

It was -- I'm not familiar -- yeah, no, it was not

23 A

24 Q

25 A

25

Or after that?

1 What is it? MS. HAUSER: Oh, it's the October 12th letter from Woods Fuller to NuStar Farms. Nate should have sent it to you or he is now. MR. BOYER: No, I did. (Exhibit 121 marked for identification.) Q (By Ms. Hauser) Ms. Bahena, would you take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit --8 MR. BISS: Just -- just hold on one -- one second. I'm just trying to make sure I've got the 10 11 right document here. You said 4137 to 4138? 12 MS. HAUSER: Correct. MR. BISS: Okay. All right, I've got it now. 14 Thank you. MS. HAUSER: Thanks. 16 0 (By Ms. Hauser) Ms. Bahena, would you take a look at 17 Exhibit 121? 18 **A** Yes. 19 0 Do you have that in front of you? 20 **A** 21 0 The date on this letter, is this the follow-up 22 letter you sent to Lori Nunes? 23 A Yes. 24 O The date on this letter is October 12th, 2018. Do 25 you see that?

1 A Yes.

1 A I don't remember. I think it was typed. I don't

2 Q Is it fair to conclude from this document and

3 Exhibit 120 that you visited the farm sometime

3 O And do you recall approximately how many -- was it a

5 A Yes. When was the article published?

6 Q The article was September 30th and then your e-mail
7 from Lori is October 2nd.

between October 2, 2018 and October 12th, 2018?

- 8 A Yeah, that's fair to say.
- 9 $\,$ Q $\,$ Um, and I think earlier you said you only went to
- 10 the farm one time; is that correct?
- 11 A Right.
- 12 Q Did anyone accompany you to the farm?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 0 Who accompanied you?
- 15 A My associate at the time, Julie Allen. She no 16 longer works here.
- 17 Q Did anyone else go with you?
- 18 **A No.**
- 19 Q Um, what was the purpose of your visit?
- 20 A I asked Lori to organize her I-9 forms into current
 21 and former employees and prepare a list of current
 22 employees and a list, I think, of former employees,
- and I would sit down with her and go through them and discuss best practices for I-9 preparation.
- 25 Q Anything else?

- 3 Q And do you recall approximately how many -- was it a 4 list on paper or digitally?
- 5 A Everything was on paper.
- 6 Q And did she, um -- you didn't take a copy of the
- 7 list with you?
- A I did not.
- 9 $\,$ Q $\,$ $\,$ Um, and was there one list of current employees and
- one list of former employees, or was it just one
- 11 list?
- 12 A I don't remember. And I -- I assume there was a 13 List because there must have been a list because I
- 14 always ask for a list, but I don't --
- .5 MR. BISS: Objection, move to strike.
- 16 Speculation.
- 17 A Yeah, I can't say with certainty about the list of 18 employees if she -- I don't want to put something in
- 19 my memory that may not have happened, so --
- 20 Q (By Ms. Hauser) Did she provide you with a list, a 21 printout from QuickBooks or something?
- 22 A I don't remember.
- 23 Q How long was the list?
- 24 A I don't -- I don't remember the list itself. I just
- 25 know we would have checked to make sure each person

- 1 A No, not that I remember.
- 2 Q And is this the purpose that you communicated to
- 3 Mrs. Nunes or that Mrs. Nunes communicated to you?
- 4 A Tolon't remember.
- 5 Q Did Mrs. Nunes express to you any concern about a
 - possible ICE audit as a result of the news article?
- 7 A Idon't remember.
- 8 Q Did Mrs. Nunes express to you that NuStar Farms was
- 9 considering filing a lawsuit over the Esquire
- 10 article?
- 11 A I don't think she had expressed that because I
- 12 probably would have stayed out of it if I had known.
- 13 $\,$ Q $\,$ Um, did you ask Mrs. Nunes to organize any
- 14 supporting documentation that was part of the
- 15 employees' files?
- 16 A Not that I recall. I would have -- I would have
- 17 wanted to see I-9s as they were at that point
- without her trying to modify or change anything
- because that can cause problems, so I would have
- just said, "Organize -- get the list of employees
- and organize the I-9s that you have based on that
- 22 list into current and former employees."
- 23 Q And did she provide you with a list of employees?
- 24 A When I arrived, yes.
- 25 Q Was that a handwritten list or a typed-up list?

- on the list had an I-9.
 - Okay. And do you recall what info, information
- 3 about the employees were on the list?
- 4 A I don't remember. What I request, and I don't
- remember if this was done exactly, is, um, a list of
- 6 employees with their start dates, and if they're
- terminated, their termination dates, because that
- 8 helps us determine, um, um, like how long I-9s need
- 5 to be retained. But I don't know if that's how the 10 List was prepared. I don't remember.
- 11 Q Do you -- looking back at Exhibit 121, do you recall
- 12 if there were any attachments to the letter or was
- 13 it just the letter as is?
- 14 A Just the letter as is. If there was attachments, I
 15 would have put "ATTE". I always do.
- 16 Q How long -- when you visited the farm, how long did
- 17 you stay?
- 18 A I don't remember exactly. I should have looked at 19 that invoice. I -- I think maybe two hours, two to
- 20 three. It was shorter than I expected to need to be
- 21 there.
- 22 Q And when you arrived, had Mrs. Nunes prepared the
- 23 current and former employee I-9s for you to look at?
- 24 A Yes. We met in the office that I think is connected
 25 to the parlor. Mrs. Nunes and I sat at a desk and

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

14

16

17

she had them in piles in front of us. And Julie Allen sat there, too. 3 Ω What did you discuss first with Mrs. Nunes when you We first just talked a little bit about the article 5 A and what she does at the dairy. Um, I got the impression she just needed to talk a little bit about the article to start. And, um, I wanted to

10 kind of to put her at ease. I think I was curious. 11 What did she tell you?

Um, she was embarrassed about the article, how she 12 was portrayed like physically, um, that she -- she 13 expressed that she enjoys life on a dairy but it's 14 not easy. Um, I remember she said she helps with the calving and a lot of the dairy chores, which, 16 17 um, I appreciated. And outside of that, I don't remember more of like the initial conversation. We 18 kind of talked about that through the whole audit. 19

know how involved she was on the dairy, um, and just

20 Q Um, were the -- about how many I-9s were in the --21 were there two piles?

22 **A** I don't remember.

About how many I-9s do you recall looking at? 23 Ω

24 A Idon't remember. Imean, I can say -- I can't speak to how many for terminated employees, but to 25

their I-9 practice, um, putting in safeties to make sure I-9s get fully completed, even though it's busy on the dairy, and suggesting there be a dedicated person to I-9s, because if different people are filling them out, it's just more likely that someone will not fill it out correctly.

Then we started going through the I-9s themselves, one by one. And I just take a pen and, without the point and touch each spot in the I-9. And if it looks like there's something that could be corrected, we'll mark that, either with a flag or a sticky note.

If it can't be corrected, some things can't, um, it is what it is, so we just say, "Look, this -this was an issue. And going forward, make sure, you know, you have safeties in place that it's not a recurring issue."

And then I talk about how to make the corrections. Um, some things she's able to correct while we go through them, if it's a missing, something on page 2 or an address of the employer, things that the employer can correct. And then we -- I say, "Make sure you indicate what the correction is so it doesn't look like you are backdating things or, you know -- yeah, committing

my recollection there was an I-9 for every, or nearly every employee. I think every.

3 0 And when you say there was an I-9 for every employee, you mean physically there was a piece of paper for each employee?

6 **A** Chrrect.

And that corresponded to the list she provided?

8 Α Yes, yes.

23

25

9 Um, did you offer to do anything for NuStar that 10 NuStar rejected?

Not that I remember. 11 Α

12 MR. BISS: Object to the form.

13 Q (By Ms. Hauser) Were there any other pieces of paper 14

other than the Form I-9s that you looked at?

15 I'm trying to remember if there were copies of ID documents, but I can't say with certainty. It's 16 17 been awhile.

What happened after your initial conversation with 18

Mrs. Nunes when you visited the farm? 19

20 **A** She sat next to me, and I have sticky notes and like 21 flags. And first we talked about general I-9

regulations, storage of, you know, retention

out, um, you know, if an interpreter is helping, how

to deal with that, and just learning more about

periods, making sure the right person is filling it

some sort of fraud or something." So, you know, you want to initial what you corrected, put an arrow to it or circle it or something and make your initial and say it's part of a, the date and -- the date that you made the correction and that it's part of an internal audit, which is the main reason, I mean. one of the main reasons I wanted to be there to show her how to make those corrections so she wasn't trying to correct things on her own, um, and not dating and initialing those corrections.

Did you indicate to her to use a different color ink in making any corrections?

I can't remember. I normally do say that, but if 13 we're there and there's corrections that she can make under my guidance, then we will use the pen that's there, as long as it's clear what you corrected and what you didn't is the importance.

18 Um, if you look at Exhibit 121, the third 19 paragraph --

20 **A** Uh-huh.

21 0 -- down. I note in your letter it says. "As a 22 reminder, for any corrections you make, use a 23 different color pen and write 'per internal audit.'

and date and initial the notation."

Does that summarize the advice you gave when

1 you were at the farm? Yes. I would have said, "Make it as clear as you can." I mean, I don't know if I used those exact words, but, "Make it as clear as you can. Date and show what you corrected so it doesn't look later, if 5 someone is looking through them, that you changed this whole I-9 or, you know, things like that." So -- so if I said to use a different color pen at that time, I don't remember, but, um, yeah, my 10 letter says that. 11 So you said you spoke with her about general I-9 12 regulations. What do you recall speaking to her 13 Um, the days that you have to -- the date limits 14 **A** that you have to complete the I-9. Um, the first

page of the I-9 the employee completes on or before the first day and then page 2 by day three the employer completes. Um --

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

10

12

14

16

18

19

20

21

23

25

THE COURT REPORTER: What was that? And then

THE DEPONENT: By -- by day three the employer needs to complete page 2.

Um, making sure that every box is completed. It's a surprisingly, um, easy form to miss things. We see that all the time. There's just a lot of boxes

retain that T-9, which T ran through with them.

And what are those limits?

It is a year after the employment is terminated or 3 three years after the start date, whichever occurs

0 And that's for former employees?

Α Yes. You retain them as long as someone is employed with you, and then you can destroy them after those dates once they're terminated.

Um, you mentioned that you talked with her about the 10 0 11 right person filling out the forms. What does that -- what did that refer to? 12

I think, if I remember correctly, when we were reviewing them, there were different -- I mean, various people -- various people had completed the employer portion. And I said, "Best practice would be a point person does the I-9s so that that person can get more familiar with the practice." And it seemed that point person was going to be Lori rather than several people dabbling in completing I-9s just

Um, did you communicate to her that the person, right person to fill out the form is the person who looks at whatever identification documents the employee presents?

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and -- um, crammed into two pages, so making -going down and taking the time to make sure everything is done. Um, explaining how fines work. Um, a lot of employers miss the same box by accident over and over and fines get higher based on the percentage of I-9s that have errors, so making sure you're not making recurring errors. And, um, retention, appropriate documents for List A and then List B and C.

And a lot of it we talked through as we went through the forms. Because the forms were there, so I could say, you know, um, "Make sure this document. is written in this way" or just generally -- and then if she had questions. I'd answer them as best as I could. But I don't remember exactly the questions. So it was very conversational.

17 What did you discuss about storage?

Um, I recommended they stick with paper storage just because they're busy on a dairy and there's a lot of requirements with electronic storage. And that's what they'd been doing at the time anyways is paper.

> Um, we -- I showed them how to calculate how long an I-9 needs to be retained, as long as the person is working, and then if they're terminated or quit, there's a calculation on, as to how long you

1 **A** Yes. Because I think it was, if I remember correctly, it was a bit of a group effort at that time, um, so whoever looked at the physical actual documents I told, said should be the one to sign them. Because I think there was some copying of physical documents and then someone would get a copy because they weren't available at the time, to, you know, for the employer side portion, and then sign based on photocopies. I do remember having conversations about that that maybe one person was looking at the actual physical documents and taking photocopies and then another person, I don't know how widespread that was, but was completing the employer portion of the I-9. If I remember correctly, they thought at some point that it had to be like an owner or something of the dairy doing it. And I said, "You can appoint an agent to just do the whole thing for you so that there's no gaps." And do you recall whether you learned that Anthony

Nunes the third was one of the people who had been filling out the forms?

22

MR. BISS: Objection, form.

24 I don't remember --

25 THE DEPONENT: Sorry.

THE COURT REPORTER: I didn't get the 1 Lori? objection. MR. BISS: Asked and answered. She said she THE DEPONENT: Could you repeat the objection? didn't remember. MR. BISS: Object to the form. Yeah, I don't remember. I don't remember. 5 I don't remember if it was Anthony Nunes, Junior or 5 (By Ms. Hauser) Was there anything else you learned Anthony Nunes the Third, but I remember seeing an about their practices? Anthony Nunes on some of the forms. And I think Δ Not that I remember. that's how that conversation came up that Lori 8 Did you learn that NuStar Farms did not fill out can -- Lori can sign them herself if she is the one parts of Section 2 on Form I-9 as part of --10 looking at the actual physical documents and that MR. BISS: Asked and answered. Said she didn't 10 11 will make things easier and cleaner. 11 remember. If there were any that I reviewed that weren't (By Ms. Hauser) Um, you said you learned more about 12 **A** 12 Ω filled out, I would have put a note on to follow up 13 their practices. What did you learn about their 13 practices? and get them completed. 14 14 I think it was -- um, based on what I saw, they were (By Ms. Hauser) Are there any sections on Form I-9 getting the first page completed. And then the 16 16 that don't need to be filled out? second page was getting completed, but I wasn't sure 17 17 if the person actually looking at the physical 18 18 What sections? document was the person signing the forms or if it Um, I should have an I-9 in front of me. For 19 19 was based on photocopies. I remember that being one 20 example, on page 1 -- and it depends on the version. 20 21 of the key takeaways to say Lori can fill out these 21 That's the other issue, they're always changing the 22 I-9s herself. She doesn't have to, like, run 22 somebody down to do that, um, and that maybe she 23 T mean, one that comes to mind is if a 23 2.4 just wants to be the person going forward so she's 24 translator or interpreter wasn't used, you don't fill out the translator interpreter section. And not trying to track someone down on the dairy who's 25 25

1 not available to get it done.

- So was it communicated to you that Lori is the one who physically looks at original documents?
- 4 I don't remember the extent. I remember that being a conversation, though, to say, "Lori, you can just fill them out yourself. You don't need to run
- someone down to do it. But that just needs to be your -- you need to decide on a practice, like who is the person going to be. "
- And did she communicate to you what the practice was 10 0 11
- I don't remember exactly. But I -- I do remember 12 A having that conversation that -- I mean, I think she 13 14 was saying, "Well, sometimes it's hard to find them 15 to sign," or something like that. Like, it was not the cleanest most efficient way to get these I-9s 16 17 filled out, and, um, that's why I just said, "Hey, Lori, if you're the one learning about this and 18 doing this, you can be the point person," I just 19 remember talking about that, "if that's what you 20 guys decide to do." 21
- Um, did she communicate to you that their practice 23 was for Anthony Nunes the Third to inspect forms.
- I- -- excuse me, inspect identification documents, 25 um, and make the photocopy and then give that to

- then you only have to do either a List A or a List B
- and C. But it would be, I think looking at the form, clear which ones aren't always required.
- There's a reverification section that only gets filled out if you reverify the person, so ...
- 6 Ο And did you advise anyone at NuStar Farms to fill out the reverification section as part of the
- corrections? I don't remember if it was part of the corrections. 9
 - But if someone had had an I-9 filled out with a, what was then, like, upon audit review an expired work permit, I would have flagged that to go and get a new work permit for the person to reverify them. Um, and then we would have talked about the reverification section saying -- because I always warn people, "You don't reverify green cards, but work permits you reverify." And that's important that they know the difference because if you ask to reverify a green card, you can actually land into
- 20 discrimination issues, so ... 21 And so just to complete that, a work permit is a 22 photo identification card that's not a green card;
- 24 No. A work permit is an employment authorization document issued by the USCIS. 25

is that fair, in general?

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

1 Q $\,\,$ And so which ones would be expired versus a green 2 $\,\,$ card?

- A An employment authorization document is typically
 valid from one to three years, sometimes four. And
 those need -- those are temporary work
- those need -- those are temporary work

 authorizations. So when they expire, the employer

 needs to request a new unexpired either work permit

 or other type of authorization document to continue

 to lawfully employ that individual.
- 10 Q Did you see any such photocopies of those documents
 11 when you visited NuStar Farms?
- 12 A I don't remember.
- 13 Q Um, we've handed you what's previously been marked 14 as Defendants' Exhibit 89, which is a blank I-9 15 form.
- 16 Do you see that --
- 17 A Yes.

1

- 18 Q -- form? Taking a look at section 1, is section 1

 19 the portion of the form that should be filled out by

 20 the employee?
- 21 A Yes, section --
- 22 MR. BISS: Objection, in- -- I'm sorry, I
 23 interrupted. I'm sorry.
- 24 THE DEPONENT: You can do your objection now. 25 Go forward.

- 1 A I don't believe so.
- Q (By Ms. Hauser) Did you advise anyone at NuStar
 Farms that they should correct the date of birth
 4 section if it was blank?
- 5 A I would have said: Oo ask the employee to fill out 6 the date of birth, initial and date that connection.
- 7 Q You didn't advise Mrs. Nunes to fill that out and 8 initial it herself?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q And I believe what you were alluding to before is
 11 there's a section in section 1 called "Preparer
 12 and/or Translator Certification." That section does
 13 not need to be filled out if no preparer or
 14 translator assisted; is that correct?
 - A Correct.
- 16 Q If you turn the page to section, page 2 of 3,
 17 there's a section called "Employer or Authorized
 18 Representative Review and Verification" as part of
 19 section 2. Are there any boxes in section 2 that do
 20 not need to be filled out?
 - MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.
- 22 A I mean, if it's a List A document you don't fill out
 23 B and C. If it's a B and C document, you don't fill
 24 out List A. But everything else gets filled out,
 25 um, through section 2.

MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.

5 **A**

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

- Yeah, section 1 through signature of employee gets filled out, the applicable portions.
- 4 Q (By Ms. Hauser) Right. And what -- um, is there -- 5 are there any blanks or boxes in section 1 that do 6 not need to be filled in?
- 7 MR. BISS: Same objection.
- 8 A Un, e-mail address, in some cases telephone number,
 9 other last names used, if any, un, and then you
 10 select boxes 1 through 4 and you only fill out the,
 11 below that and you only fill out the applicable
 12 information for that box. And then you sign then
 13 the employee signs and dates.
- 14 Q (By Ms. Hauser) And section -- may the employer fill out any portions of section 1?
- 16 **A No.**
- 17 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.
- 18 **A No.**
- 19 Q (By Ms. Hauser) Did you advise --
- 20 A Ch, wait. Unless they fill out the preparer. If 21 they're helping as the preparer, they can fill it 22 out.
- 23 Q Oh, yes. May the employer fill in, for example, the 24 date of birth in section 1?
- 25 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.

- 1 Q (By Ms. Hauser) And did you advise anyone at NuStar
 2 Farms that they did not need to fill out the List B
 3 or List C section and instead they could maintain
 4 photocopies of identification cards?
 - So I don't remember if I said this or not, but if that question came up, like if List B and C is not filled out, in my experience if there's an ICE audit and there's a photocopy attached, then they will allow you -- like, they won't count it as what we call a substantive error. They will say that corrects the lack of completion of B and C. It's not ideal practice. Ideal practice is to have B and C completed. But if there's a photocopy attached to the I-9, then in my experience they will not count that as a substantive error and they will allow that to be corrected and you will not be fined on that. But you still need to fill out the rest of the attestation. But that's not -- like I said, I wouldn't advise to do that practice, but a blank B and C with a photocopy attached of the documents is better than a blank B and C with no photocopy
- 23 Q And did you advise NuStar that going forward they
 24 should continue to retain photocopies and not fill
 25 in section 2?

No. And if that's what they were doing, I'm afraid they misunderstood me if that's what they think I said. I have not talked to them since the audit. Um, I would have said: If you keep photocopies, you have to do it for all of your employees and be consistent. You don't have to complete -- keep photocopies.

And, yeah, like, in my experience, if B and C isn't completed and there's an audit, photocopies can help you reduce your fines that way, but I wouldn't have advised them to leave B and C blank on the form.

13 0 Was filling in the A, B or C section something you 14 advised NuStar Farms to correct after your visit?

Can you rephrase that?

1 A

10

11

12

16 0 Sure. If you encountered blanks in section 2 in the A, B or C document section, did you advise NuStar to make corrections in that section? 18

19 Yes, but that gets complicated because the person who inspected the document should then, in my mind, 20 make that correction ideally. So I would have said: Ideally the person who inspected the document make 22 23 that correction, initial and date it. Um, but 2.4 another person could use the copy, fill in List B and C, initial and date it. That may have come up. 25

MS. HAUSER: No. not at all.

MR. BISS: That's what you're doing, you're trying to suggest an answer and get her to bite on one number. You go one, two, three, for all day, up to infinity.

MS. HAUSER: When it's your turn to ask her questions, you can ask her if you want to know one, two, three, four, five, six. That's not what I'm asking.

MR. BISS: I'm satisfied -- when someone tells me, "I don't remember," I'm satisfied that she doesn't remember. So I wouldn't do what you're doing. But, you know...

MS. HAUSER: Thanks for the advice.

MR. BISS: You're welcome.

If -- if we're current employees, I would say -- I 16 mean, it's a very rough estimate, but I would say 17 somewhere between like, ch, my goodness, 40 to 60 18 current. 19

> Terminated employees, I do not remember. It would -- we would have slowly dredged through them over the course of two to three hours. And it took

24 (By Ms. Hauser) Um, did you advise NuStar that they are not allowed to ask any questions whatsoever 25

10

12

13

14

20

22

3

10

12

13

14

17 0

18

19

20

23

25

And because of -- it sounded like in some I-9s one person inspected the document, photocopied and then another person filled out the verification at the end, so that was -- I don't recall the exact conversation, but it -- it was a little more nuance in that situation if someone, if one person had inspected a physical document and then another person filled out the attestation.

Do you recall approximately how many I-9s you looked 9 Q 10

I don't remember. 11

8

13

14

20

21

25

12 0 Was it more than 50?

> MR. BISS: Objection, asked and answered. Said she didn't remember.

MS. HAUSER: Steve, you know I'm allowed to try 16 to refresh the witness's recollection.

17 MR. BISS: No, you're not.

MS. HAUSER: And asked and answered isn't a 18

19 proper --

> MR. BISS: She said she doesn't have a recollection.

22 MS. HAUSER: -- objection.

23 MR. BISS: She doesn't have a recollection. She said, "I don't remember," and you're just trying

to suggest an answer. That's all you're doing.

about identification documents presented by the employee?

No, but I did -- I would have told them -- I did not advise them that, but I would have told them: You're anxious. Be cautious. And don't be discriminatory. If a document looks correct on its face, you may accept that document. If there's a reason to believe, a valid reason, something looks very wrong in this document, um, then -- then you should -- you can ask further. And -- and if it looks like a fake document, then you have a duty to look into that. But if a document looks good on its face, um, you can accept that document without further inquiry, unless you have reason to believe that the worker is presenting you with a false

16 document.

Um. did vou --

Sorry, and I would say -- and I warn them that reason can't be because they have an accent or, you know, the color of their skin. You have to be

careful. 21 22

Um, did you advise them that they could accept an identification document that had a name on it that was different from the name the employee entered on Form T-9?

1 **A** A completely different name or a misspelling? 1 0 Um. looking at it today, um, would an employer be I'll give you an example. obligated to ask an employee a question about these MR. BOYER: What are we up to? identification forms if they don't match the name MS. HAUSER: 122. Can I have one for the court the employee himself entered in section 1? 5 reporter? 5 **A** I would say --MR. BOYER: Sure. MR. BISS: Objection, speculation, inadmissible MR. HUFF: Thanks. opinion. If they noticed the mismatch of the name, it would MS. HAUSER: Will you mark this? 8 raise questions that I would advise them to follow The court reporter is going to mark up on: Why is -- you know, is this a nickname or is 10 Exhibit 122, which is a document bearing the Bates 10 11 numbers PX 3164 through 3167. 11 this not actually the documents and ask them to correct the I-9 with their true legal name. I may MR. BISS: Kristen, do I have that document? 12 12 MS. HAUSER: Nate is sending you it right now. have missed the mismatch. 13 13 Is -- is matching names something that you advise 14 Sorry, I skipped around in my outline. 14 MR. BISS: No worries. No worries. employers to look out for when accepting documents? I do --16 MR. HUFF: Exhibit 122. 16 A (By Ms. Hauser) Ms. Bahena, have --MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion. 17 17 I do advise them to watch how the employees fill out. 18 THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. 18 A MS. HAUSER: Oh, sorry, you're not done. Nope, 19 the first section because there are a lot of low 19 20 no worries. 20 literacy employees, um, and I've seen employees 21 (Exhibit 122 marked for identification.) 21 barely be able to spell their own names right or leave out a second last name, so I do advise, "Make 22 (By Ms. Hauser) Ms. Bahena, the court reporter has 22 23 handed you exhibit, Defendants' Exhibit 122, I'll 23 sure that, you know, the employee seems to have 24 refer you to section 1. Do you see that the 24 filled out section 1 correctly as well." Um, but specifically comparing the name -- I employee has entered the name 25 25

82 84

Do you see that?

A Yes.

And if you flip to page 3166, do you note that the name on both the Minnesota identification card and the Social Security card is

A I do see that.

And do you see that on page 3165 NuStar Farms made some corrections to this Form I-9 in section 2?

11 Q And that the name that Mrs. Nunes added at the top
12 of section 2 matches the name on the front of the

I-9?

14 A Yes.

10 A

13

15 Q Is this an identification or a Form I-9 with
16 supporting identification that you recall reviewing
17 at the time of your audit?

18 A I don't recall reviewing this specific one or any of
19 the names, but given the date, I would assume it
20 would have been in the pile of reviewed documents
21 that we would have noted for correction.

22 Q And do you recall whether you or your associate
23 noticed that the names didn't match?

24 A I don't recall if we noticed that the names didn't
25 match. I may have missed the mismatch.

mean, more for accuracy purposes. I guess I haven't run across it myself in like where it showed a fraud.

4 Q But looking at it today, it's certainly one you 5 would advise a client to ask some more questions?

6 A Yes, yes. And --

MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.

8 A And I may have missed a mismatch.

9 Q (By Ms. Hauser) Um, does seeing this document or any
10 of your earlier testimony refresh your recollection
11 that you did review identification forms photocopies
12 when you visited NuStar Farms?

when you visited NuStar Farms?
 A I still don't remember. I just don't remember the

exact, if they were attached or not. But given that
List B and C were blank here, I would have either
flagged them -- I mean, I would have flagged them to
fill out List B and C but -- hmm, I -- sonry, I just
don't remember if some had photos, none or all had
photocopy documents. Where is my letter?

MS. HAUSER: I'm going to hand the court reporter two documents. Exhibit 123 is a document bearing Bates number 3108 to 3111.

23 (Exhibit 123 marked for identification.)
24 MS. HAUSER: And Exhibit 124 is a document
25 bearing Bates numbers PX 4187 to PX 4188.

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

quess my advice would be different if it's a 1 (Exhibit 124 marked for identification) 1 terminated employee and you want to provide ICE with MR. BISS: Kristen, are these documents that the information they need to be able to verify are on their way? MS. HAUSER: Yep. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the videographer. 5 Um, so, yeah, I -- I should have couched that In about five minutes we have to change the tape. in: Have the -- have the term- -- the employee fill it out, um, and initial and date. Any changes the MS. HAUSER: Steve, let me know when you've got employer -- the employer makes to -- to -- to MR. BISS: Yeah, I just -- I just got it. section 1 should be highly notated. (By Ms. Hauser) Ms. Bahena, would you take a look at Um, is it illegal for the employer to fill out 10 0 10 11 Exhibit 123? 11 section 1? No. So in a terminated employee case Uh-huh. like this where the employee is not going to 12 **A** 12 complete it, I feel it's appropriate that she filled 13 0 Um, do you note that in section 1, the employee 13 listed his name as and that his in this in a different color ink and initialed it. 14 14 signature is And does it raise any concerns for you that the 16 **A** Yes. 16 employee signed one name and wrote his name in 17 And do you notice on page 3110 the name appears to section 1 and in section 2 with a name that doesn't 18 match the signature but not, um, the name in 18 match his identification form? 19 19 section 1? 20 **A** 20 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion. 21 0 Do you recall seeing this employee's I-9 and 21 **A** Yes. If this had been a current employee, I would have advised them to follow up. And if necessary --22 supporting documents when you visited NuStar Farms? 22 23 I do not recall seeing this specific I-9, but given 23 if it turns out that they're undocumented, terminate 24 the dates and the note, I -- it's -- it was probably 24 them. But this was a terminated employee, so, um, in the pile of I-9s we reviewed. at that point it was a nonissue. Um, and I... 25

86 88

1 0 And if you look at Exhibit 124 ---- um, do you see this is the Form I-9 for that same emplovee? 5 **A** 0 And do you see it has some red pen in section 1 with an initial? 8 Α Did vou -- but vou're sure vou didn't advise Mrs Nunes to correct section 12 10 Well, this looks like it was for a terminated 11 employee potentially. I don't know for sure. 12 13 There's a sticky note on it. So it looks like I'm seeing "S," like start date, "June 16." 14 15 Terminate -- "T" would likely be termination. "September 16. Shred July 2019." So I'm thinking 16 17 this is in the pile of terminated employees, in which case we would have said, "You're not going to 18 get this employee to fill this out. If you make 19 corrections to finish it, make sure you initial it." 20 21 So if the employee is willing to, you fill out the first page. Um, but if you're going to fill out the first page, initial it. And here it's a 23 terminated employee. So ICE is going to come back

and ask for this information if they audit. So I

25

1 0 (By Ms. Hauser) Meaning it was a nonissue --THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I --0 (By Ms. Hauser) -- because they couldn't do anything about it anymore? 5 **A** Right. The employee was no longer there, so they wouldn't have -- they would have no right at that point to go and ask them anyway, so what was done was done. MS. HAUSER: Let's go off the record to change 10 the tape. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. 11 12 This ends media unit number 2 of the video 13 recorded Zoom deposition of Amanda Bahena. 14 We are going off the record at 12:11 p.m. Central Time. 16 (Lunch recess.) 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This begins media unit 18 number 3 in the video recorded Zoom deposition of 19 Amanda Bahena. 20 We are back on the record at 1:13 p.m. Central 21 Time. 22 (By Ms. Hauser) Good afternoon, Ms. Bahena. Um, I'm 23 going to hand you what the court reporter has previously, in earlier depositions, marked as 25 Deposition Exhibit 23.

1 **A**

5

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Bahena, first, do you recall whether this is an I-9 and supporting documents you reviewed as part of your I-9 audit at NuStar? I don't remember. 5 And if I can direct your attention to page 3197, which is the third -- sorry, excuse me, 3196, which is the second page, do you note that on the photocopy of United States of America Permanent Resident Card the employee's surname is spelled 10 and on the photocopy of the Social 11 Security card the employee's last name is spelled 12 Do you see that? 13 **A** I do see that. 14 And before the break we were talking about identification cards that may have not matched the 16 name on form -- in -- in part 1 on Form I-9. 17 Do you see that the employee on page 1 of this document spelled the last name with 18 19 I can't tell, can you? 20 **A** I can't tell what the letter is. There's -there's -- I mean, I would normally read with an so that's what I would have read it as, 22 23 an I And would this be -- looking at the photocopies of 24 0

I can't say that if, that they noticed the misspelling on that that it's a sea and not an I don't know if I caught the misspelling that it's a and not an "um, so I can't speak to when they saw the document at the time, their state of mind or anything like that. But if they noticed. then I would advise them, if they asked me, to follow up with the employee, because, yeah, there's an error or issue with the name on the card, that it doesn't match the name on the ID.

Um, this is -- this employee presented a permanent resident card as well, so technically the Social Security card wasn't required for the I-9, if that matters, but I would have still said, you know: Follow up with the Social Security card, um, if I noticed a misspelling.

(By Ms. Hauser) And would this have raised -- if you had -- you don't recall whether you noticed or not

I don't remember. And, frankly, I may have saw that 20 A the -- I mean, looking at it now, as I would have looked, I would have said: Oh, you didn't fill out List A. This is how I would have handled it, most likely: The green card -- a copy of the green card is attached, um, so you may -- you should go back,

surnames on the two forms of ID presented by the employee something that should cause an employer to ask more questions of the employee about his identification documents? 5 **A** T would --

the identification cards, is having mismatched

MR. BISS: Objection, speculation, inadmissible

If I -- if I noticed the misspelling, I would have -- I would advise them to follow up on that and ask if, which is the correct spelling or -- or ask for more information.

(By Ms. Hauser) And if you -- as part of the certification section on the Form I-9 by the employer, that's important because one thing the employer is certifying is that the documents relate to the employee; is that correct?

17 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion. 18 **A** I would say the certification states that the above-listed documents appear to be genuine and to 19

relate to the employee named.

(By Ms. Hauser) Right. 21 0

22

25

8

10

11

14

16

20

12 0

23 0 And do both of these -- how do we know if, which of these documents relates to the employee named here? 25 MR. BISS: Objection, speculation.

you know, whoever looked at the card and fill out List A, initial and date it as a correction.

But I don't know if I would have actually gone down to the Social Security card because the List A document would have been a green card. So my big comment on this one would be the List A document, space is blank.

And it looks like this has a "per audit" notation on 8

10 Do you see that?

11

12 And it appears as if -- and would you have expected that to be in the different color pen or -- that you 14 advised in your letter?

15 Without -- without seeing it, I don't know what 16 color they would have used. I mean, that's not a

17 legal requirement. I just like --

18

-- it to be clear what changes they're making. 19

20 O Um, and it appears they did not correct it by 21 filling in anything on List A. Do you see that?

22

23 0 And you can put that one aside.

24 **A**

25 O Um, going back to the logistics of your visit to

NuStar, um, do you recall if you asked to see copies 1 A I don't remember. I would have just said, you know, they need to -- if -- "If samething doesn't look of other documents like W-4s or payroll reports? right on its face, you need to follow up. And No --3 **A** that's a common sense type thing. But you can 5 -- I would not have asked to see those. certainly do more training because you may -- you Do you recall if there was anything you asked to see may be able to recognize some documents if you do that they didn't have available? further training, but it's not required." No. I only asked to see the I-9s. And we reviewed 8 Did you describe to them any examples of documents the I-9s that they provided. that look fraudulent on their face? Not that I remember. 10 0 What advice did you give to NuStar, if any, about 10 Α 11 what it means to inspect the, excuse me, 11 Did you come across any documents in your review, copies of which did not look genuine on their face? 12 12 authorization documents presented by the employee? So in my binder that I take to I-9s, because it has I don't remember, but if I had I probably would have 13 A 13 **A** some quidance that I sometimes refer to if I'm not set them aside if they popped out at me, but I don't 14 14 sure how to, you know, if a new situation comes up, remember. If they were terminated employees, I may it has my sticky notes, there is from ICE, I think have said: This one looks off. But we wouldn't 16 16 Brent Stanley gave it to me, um, a pamphlet. I have done anything about it because they were 17 didn't leave it with them because I only have one. 18 18 terminated employees. I should get more. But there's a pamphlet on 19 Did you review any documents, um, where, um, NuStar 19 20 reviewing documents. Um, it's pretty brief. And 20 had accepted Social Security cards that said they there's same online things. Um, so I said, "To be were valid for work only with DHS or INS prudent and to make -- you know, um, you can authorization? familiarize yourself with, um, some documents, but 23 I don't remember if I had seen those. And it was 23 2.4 you're not required to be an expert on them. Um, 24 used as a List C document with a List B, then I like there's pamphlets like, you know, like this. would have marked that for follow-up. If it was 25 25

94

aware, will come in and do trainings," but, um, that's about as far as that went. I didn't go into training on recognizing documents, um, with them. T -- I saw the -- the review as like a point in time in giving some advice to practices going forward. Um, and we didn't do any in-depth training on recognizing fake documents from valid ones. Did you discuss whether or not the birth date on the 9 employee's identification document should match the 10 11 birth date that the employee puts in Form I-9, 12 section 1? 13 **A** I don't remember discussing that. 14 0 Do you recall reviewing any I-9 forms with, at NuStar where the birth date on the ID did not match 16 the birth date the employee filled out on Form I-9? 17 I don't remember discussing or seeing those. 18 Sametimes employees from different countries will flip the month and the date. That's the most common 19 issue. Um, but other than that, no, I don't 20 21 remember -- well, I don't remember anything specific Um, you said you didn't do any training with NuStar 23 0

about how to recognize fake work authorization

25

documents. Did anyone at NuStar ask you about that?

There's online stuff. And -- and ICE, as far as I'm

used -- if they had presented a List A document, I would not have marked that for follow-up. 0 Sorry, I jumped out of order. 4 Also if it was a terminated employee, I would not have marked for follow-up because you can't at that point. MS. HAUSER: Steve, this next one is coming. It's already marked. I've just handed the witness a exhibit that's previously been marked as Defendants' Exhibit 33 10 11 (By Ms. Hauser) And, Ms. Bahena, I'll refer you to 12 the third page Bates stamped PX 3544 where there's a photocopy of an ID that says, "Valid for Work Only 14 with DHS Authorization." Do you see that? 16 **A** 17 Do you recall reviewing this as part of your audit? 18 I don't recall this specific I-9. 19 And you'll note that it was presented with a 20 Minnesota driver's license. Do you see that? 21 **A** Yes. 22 And are these acceptable documents together to show 23 that the employee is authorized to work in the

United States?

For this --

25 A

1 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion. 1 worked there, it appears NuStar improperly accepted For this one, if this is -- if this were the a restricted Social Security card as proof of work documents he showed for the I-9, I don't know, authorization based on the documents produced to us because there's a W-4 here as well, but if these in the litigation. 5 were the documents that were shown for the I-9, um. MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion and the List C Social Security card would only be valid just egregiously leading questions. They're not for a List C document if it had -- didn't have the even questions; they're just statements by Counsel. "Valid for Work Only with DHS Authorization," I would note that the attestation doesn't appear unless -- well, yeah, unless they presented a List A completed either, so I can't attest to what document. documents were reviewed when this I-9 was completed, 10 10 11 (By Ms. Hauser) And do you note also that the 11 12 emplovee filled out his name on page 1 with a 12 MS. HAUSER: What number are we up to? 13 and the name on the identification document starts 13 THE COURT REPORTER: 125. with a um, would these circumstances have 14 14 MS. HAUSER: Steve, this one is on its way. caused, should have caused the employer to ask more I'm going to ask the court reporter to mark as 16 questions about this employee's documents? 16 Exhibit 125 a document bearing PX 4046 to 4049. 17 MR. BISS: Objection, speculation, inadmissible (Exhibit 125 marked for identification.) (By Ms. Hauser) Ms. Bahena, would you take a look at 18 opinion 18 Yeah, if I'd noticed -- if I noticed, and I don't 19 19 Exhibit 125? remember if I reviewed this I-9 or set it aside, 20 20 **A** 21 but, um, that it was, with a sea and 21 0 Um, and if you -- if I can direct you to page 4048, with a I would have flagged it to ask them to 22 22 do you see that the documents attached or produced 23 correct the legal name or follow up with them, but 23 to us with this Form I-9 also contain a Social 2.4 also to ask for either a List A document or an 24 Security card that says it's valid for work only unrestricted Social Security card. with INS authorization, do you see that? 25 25

(By Ms. Hauser) And if this was a former employee, do you recall if this is one you set aside and said was problematic? 4 Tf it was --MR BISS: Asked and answered If it was a terminated employee, I wouldn't have set 6 those aside because there was nothing you can do at that point. They're not going to -- I mean, you --8

12 0 (By Ms. Hauser) Sorry, let me reask. Did you -- is this one of the documents that you told NuStar was

you just retain them and the employee is gone, so

you can't make employees add corrections at that

15 I don't remember.

problematic?

10

14

16 Looking at it today, does it appear to be 17 problematic to you right now?

MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.

18 With the difference between the and the 19 um, and the restriction on the social, if 20 21 that's what was presented for the I-9, um, then 22 they -- I would have advised them to follow up with the employee. 23

(By Ms. Hauser) But if we -- if -- if we know that this employee worked at NuStar, um, and no longer 25

1 **A**

And that there's also a copy of an Iowa identification card. Do you see that as well?

Are those two documents together sufficient to authorize an employee to work in the United States?

A

MR. BISS: Objection, lack of foundation, 8 inadmissible opinion.

The Social Security card has a restriction, so 10 A they'd either have to show a different List C 11 document or a List A document. 12

13 (By Ms. Hauser) And do you recall whether you 14 reviewed this Form I-9 and supporting documents in your audit at NuStar?

16 **A** I do not remember.

17 And do you see on page 1 the employee did not check 18 any of the boxes under the section, "I attest, under 19 penalty of perjury, that I am (check one of the 20 following) "?

21 **A** I see that.

22 Um, and does ICE consider that failure a serious I-9 23 violation?

That would be a --

MR. BISS: Objection, speculation, inadmissible 25

I asked them to provide a list of employees. If my

employees here and then a file next to it and a file

employees in the I-9s. And I typically check them

off. I can't picture doing an I-9 audit any other

Um, and so to the best of your recollection, you

understand or help you refresh your recollection as

to whether you reviewed an I-9 or not. correct?

Yes, um, assuming the I-9 was there, but I don't

remember being concerned that any were missing. I'm going to show you two exhibits that have

previously been marked as Exhibits 17 and 18.

MR. BOYER: Here's 17. And here's 18.

(By Ms. Hauser) Ms. Bahena, the court reporter has

previously marked as Defendants' Exhibit 17 and 18.

And I will represent to you that we have learned

through discovery that this employee was employed at

just -- or we've just handed you documents

reviewed the ones on the list, correct?

Um, so if you had the list, it would help us

memory serves me correct, there was a list of

next to it and we went down with the list of

1 opinion 1 That would be a substantive, um, error. (By Ms. Hauser) And, again, this one also has no 3 3 certification or signature from the employer and 4 nothing filled out in section 2, do you see that? 5 Α Would that also be a serious or substantive violation? 9 Um --Α 9 10 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion, 10 11 speculation. 11 **A** Assuming this employee was hired, that would be a 12 **A** 12 substantive violation. In that situation they would 13 13 have until day three of employment to complete that. 14 14 I don't know if they got that far. (By Ms. Hauser) Do you recall, and Steve will let me 16 16 17 know if I already asked this, but do you recall 17 18 whether you reviewed any Forms I-9 and supporting 18 documents at your audit that had restricted Social 19 19 20 Security cards? 20

MR. HUFF: Okay.

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

25 A

102

1 everything? Yeah, I asked if -- I -- well, I told them, "Provide all of your I-9s." 4 0 Ahead of the audit you didn't say: Provide only those that are one year and three years in the non-purge stage? No. I said, "Provide all of your I-9s," because I would have been concerned they might purge ones that aren't supposed to be. The math isn't that easy to figure out. 10 Did you advise that going forward NuStar should fill 11 0 12 in section 2 completely and in terms of filling out 13 List B or List C or List A? Yes. Um, if Lori understood it that way, I don't 14 **A** know, um, but my advice is to complete every part of the T-9. 16 17 Did you encounter any circumstances in your audit 0 18 where NuStar had not received from the employee both a List B and a List C document? 19 20 **A** I don't remember. 21 0 Um, and sitting here today, you don't remember specifically what I-9s you reviewed and which ones you didn't? 23 I don't remember.

21 **A**

24 A

25 O

25 0

22

23

I don't remember offhand.

Um, are you aware of whether there were I-9s in

I don't know. I reviewed what they provided to me.

NuStar's possession that you did not review?

And did you have occasion to ask: Is this

the time of your audit. Um, do you -- looking at these two documents, do you recall whether you looked at this employee's I-9 at the time of your andit? 5 **A** I don't remember. 0 Um, what's been produced to us in litigation is a photocopy of a Social Security card on -- in Exhibit 18. Do you see that? Α And on Exhibit 17 there is a notation under List C. 12 A 13 0 For a Social Security card? 14 **A** Yes. Would these two documents together be sufficient to authorize this worker to be employed in the United States? MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion. No. They're missing either a List B document or a List A document. 21 0 (By Ms. Hauser) And I note that there's no "per audit" notations or post-its on this. Do you -- and you don't -- I think you said you don't recall

Um, but you at least saw a list of employees,

whether you saw this one --

I don't remember.

would twoically make. 1 0 -- or not? Um, did you give any advice to NuStar, um, that And can you read for me what the post-it on Exhibit 127 says? a single Social Security card is not sufficient I-9 documentation procedures? Add document information or attach -- "Add document 5 Α Well, we would have gone through List A, B and C information or attach documents used in RED." documents and, um, its filing instructions, so, um, Do you recall what that note was intended to convey? Here there's no documents listed in A. B. or C. so we I don't remember having an exact conversation about 7 Α a single Social Security card. would have wanted them to make -- to correct the Um, if you look at Exhibit 18, do you see that the I-9, list valid documents in the appropriate places 9 that they used. 10 Social Security card starts with the number 9? 10 11 **A** 11 And you'll see they've made, someone at NuStar has 12 0 12 Do you know whether the Social Security made some corrections in red there --Administration has ever issued valid Social Security 13 13 **A** 14 numbers in a 900 series? 14 Ω -- on Exhibit 127. And if you flip back to Exhibit 126, do you see I can't speak to ever. My understanding is often 16 those are ITIN numbers. 16 that there's a photocopy of a Social Security card Um, what would you advise an employer about this I-9 but no additional documents? 17 17 18 and supporting document that appears to have been 18 Chrmect.

19

20

20 A I would have advised them that they need to request a List B or a List A document. I don't know if it would have occurred to me to advise about the Social 22 23 Security number starting with a 9.

24 Q What is an ITIN number?

filled out in 2010?

19

Individual Taxpayer ID Number. They are -- I don't 25 A

22 to work in the United States? 23 A 24 MR. BISS: Objection to form. -- it would appear, for 25 **A** um, the

know all of the laws around -- ITIN numbers, I probably shouldn't talk about them too much, but they stand for Individual Taxpayer ID Numbers.

(Exhibit 126 marked for identification.)

MS. HAUSER: I've asked the court reporter to mark two new exhibits. P- -- Defendants' Exhibit 126 bears the Bates numbers PX 3198, 3199 and 4086. And Exhibit 127 bears the Bates numbers PX 4166 and 4167.

(Exhibit 127 marked for identification) 10

(By Ms. Hauser) Ms. Bahena, would you take a look at 11 12 Exhibit 126, please?

13

14 Do you recall whether this is an I-9 and supporting document that you reviewed as part of your audit at 16 NuStar?

17 Α I do not remember.

18 If you take a look at PX 127 -- if you refer to page 2 at PX 4167, do you see that there's --19 appears to be a photocopy of a post-it on the -- on 20 21 the back?

22

23 0 Does that refresh your recollection as to whether this is an I-9 you reviewed as part of your audit? Yes. I -- that would have been a notation that I 25 A

documents were not listed on the I-9. I don't know the order of these exhibits, if when I reviewed it, the Social Security card was, there's a copy here, was added -- was already existing when I reviewed or if they added it later. I -- I guess I don't know which document came first.

And does this refresh your recollection that you

encountered I-9s at NuStar where NuStar did not have

all of the documents required to authorize a worker

0 Um, and if this employee continued to be employed by NuStar after the audit, did they require additional identification documents to continue to employ him?

10 A

11

13

14

17

22

MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.

12 Um, to be in compliance with the, um, regulations, they would have had to complete the I-9 with -and -- and see -- and he presented the appropriate documents to show he's authorized to work.

16 (By Ms. Hauser) And do you have an understanding that if this I- -- do you recall -- sorry.

18 You recall that earlier today you testified 19 that some corrections may have been made to the Forms I-9 while you were present at NuStar? Did you 20 21 sav that?

I imagine -- I mean, if there were corrections that

Lori could make, we would have shown her how to make 23 those and helped her so that she knew how to initial and date things. There's no sense in waiting until 25

later to make those corrections. The corrections 1 audit? that she could not make at the time or that needed It appears so because we put notations on sticky notes and there were corrections per internal audit. employee corrections, we would have flagged or set aside for her to do later. 4 And, um, do you see on page PX 4248 there's a 5 (By Ms. Hauser) And it appears on Exhibit 127, does post-it next to the List A, B and C section that the post-it, presence of the post-it help you says, "Need copies of docs." Do you see that? determine whether this is one you corrected on site Α or asked where she was directed to correct after? 8 Does that refresh your recollection as to whether 9 Well, it's stated that the correction was you encountered any situations at NuStar where there November 4, 2018, um, so -- I think my audit was 10 10 were no identification documents for the employees? 11 before that, so that would have been after. 11 Α 12 0 So even though it was audited, there's still no 12 MR. BISS: Object to the form. information suggesting that NuStar had a List B and 13 13 Mischaracterizes her testimony. 14 List C document; is that correct? 14 Yes. So here, a couple of things on this one. Um, 15 my comment goes to a few things. The B and -- the 16 MR. BISS: Objection, speculation. 16 17 Based on the exhibits in front of me, no. 17 A, B and C should be completed. If that was possible. I don't know, because it looks like this (By Ms. Hauser) And that's because both Exhibits 126 18 18 was a terminated I-9, a terminated employee. Um, and 127 are blank in the List A, B and C section of 19 19 but also we would have discussed with them, "If 20 Form T-92 20 Correct. And there's also no additional A, B or C 21 you're going to attach copies, photocopies of documents -- well, the Social Security card would be documents to I-9s, it can't be some ves, some no. 22 22 23 a C. but no A or B documents attached to the exhibit 23 It should be consistent." so to have a consistent.

24

25

6

10

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

110 112

1 I-9s where NuStar had not collected or noted
2 inspecting any identification documents for
3 employees?
4 A Not that I remember. If I had, I would have set
5 them aside and noted for follow-up.

MS. HAUSER: I'm going to ask the court reporter to mark as Exhibit 128 a document bearing Bates Nos. PX 4247 to PX 4248.

Did vou encounter in your audit any situations or

THE COURT REPORTER: And just for the record, I need to say that while there's still talking, I can't mark exhibits. So that's why they're not getting marked quickly.

13 MS. HAUSER: I'm good. Thanks.

THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.

15 (Exhibit 128 marked for identification.)

16 Q (By Ms. Hauser) Ms. Bahena, we've handed you a

17 document previously marked as Defendants'

18 Exhibit 44 and also a new exhibit, Defendants'

19 Exhibit 128. Do you have those in front of you?

20 A Yes.

24

8

10

12

14

25

25 O

that T have.

21 Q And I'll refer you to Exhibit 127. Um -- or, excuse
22 me -- yeah -- I'm not going to refer you to 127

23 because we're done with that.

24 Looking at Exhibit 128, are you able to tell me

whether this is an I-9 you reviewed as part of your

and also let's be consistent and get photocopies of documents with every I-9 for best practice.

practice. So this sticky note to me is both noting,

and there's a flag, too, we need A, B or C documents

3 Q (By Ms. Hauser) And if this employee was employed in 4 2017, is this an I-9 that should have still existed 5 in full form in October of 2018 when you audited?

Depends on the start date and the termination date. So if the start date here is correct, which is July 5 of 2017, then this needs to be retained until July — I mean, here we wrote I think August 2020, to be safe, but it should be retained three years from the start date, so yes, even though it's a terminated employee.

13 Q Do you recall whether Mrs. Nunes or anyone at NuStar 14 told you whether or not they had supporting 15 documents for this employee?

16 A I don't remember.

Q Did you have any -- do you recall giving any additional advice about the situation reflected here where there is, are no identification documents

referenced or copied?

A I don't remember. It was a terminated employee, so, um, we would have said, you know, "Do what you can, but your options are limited at this point."

24 Q Um, and so what are -- what is the effect of the
25 corrections that are made on page 2 of Exhibit 128?

1 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion. to determine whether this is an T-9 that you (By Ms. Hauser) Do you have an understanding of what reviewed as part of your audit? the client was correcting as of the time of the It appears that it is. It has the, um, "per audit" 3 notations and sticky note saying that it could be 5 If -- well, I don't know if they had copies of 5 destroyed. documents. If they recall reviewing the documents, 6 0 And on the back of that document, it looks like it would have been, um, the documents that they there also may have been a little tape flag and listed up there were examined, but there's no another post-it. Do you see that? examination. So because the copies of the documents 9 Oh, yes. Α 10 or -- or the documents themselves weren't listed, 10 Um, and referring to Exhibit 129, if you look at 11 um, they may have, you know, filling out the first 11 page 3, do you see that the Tennessee driver's date of employment, they may have eliminated some 12 license says it expires on January 18th, 2016? 12 things they could be fined on because now the first 13 13 **A** day of employment is filled out. Um, but the key to 14 14 And do you see that on page 3011 the employee's this one will be, um, the missing verification first date of employment is listed as 7-7-2017? documents. 16 16 A Yes. 17 And if no such verification documents exist or 17 Do you recall discussing with Mrs. Nunes that existed that were reviewed, could this employee have expired documents would not, in this particular case 18 18 19 been legally authorized to work at NuStar? 19 would not have authorized this worker to work at 20 MR. BISS: Objection, speculation, inadmissible 20 NuStar? 21 21 I don't remember, but we probably didn't spend much 22 **A** All I can say is the I-9 was not completed, so I 22 time on it because it was a terminated employee. 23 wouldn't be able to testify beyond that. The reason 23 But this set of documents would not have been it's not completed, I don't know. 24 acceptable when this employee was hired in July of 24 (By Ms. Hauser) Did you advise NuStar that accepting 2017? 25 0 25

116

identification documents that were expired was not a practice that would authorize a worker to work at

4 I don't recall the exact conversation, but, um, the documents, the identity documents need to be unexpired. 6

8

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

MS. HAUSER: I'm going to hand -- ask the court reporter to mark two additional exhibits. Exhibit 129 bears the Bates numbers PX 3010 to 3012. IJm. and if everyone will bear with me, there seems to be additional pages attached here that aren't related to this employee, so I'm going to -- that's the extent of Exhibit 129. And if there are additional pages, let's just rip them off and not make them part of this exhibit.

Steve, do you have that? MR. BISS: I do.

MS. HAUSER: Thanks.

(Exhibit 129 marked for identification.) 19

MS. HAUSER: And I'm going to ask the court 20 21 reporter also to mark Exhibit 130, which is a document bearing Bates numbers PX 4172 and PX 4173.

23 (Exhibit 130 marked for identification.) (By Ms. Hauser) Ms. Bahena, taking a look at

Exhibit 4- -- excuse me, Exhibit 130, are you able 25

Tf ---1 **A**

4

MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible -objection, inadmissible opinion, speculation.

If these were the documents used, because the driver's license is expired, that wouldn't have been an acceptable List B document. They would have needed an unexpired, um, document.

(By Ms. Hauser) Um, do you know at the time of your audit whether NuStar had a, previously had any policy around accepting expired identification 10

I don't know of any policy. 12 A

13 Do you recall encountering any -- any documents 14 where -- any -- do you recall reviewing any I-9s, aside from this one, where NuStar had copies of 16 documents that were expired at the time of hire?

17 I don't remember.

MR. BISS: Object to the form.

19 I don't remember.

20 (By Ms. Hauser) Do you have -- in your letter, which 21 we previously marked as Exhibit. I can't remember which number, 124, I think --23 MR. HUFF: 122?

MS. HAUSER: Oh, yeah, 122. 121.

25 O (By Ms. Hauser) Sorry. Taking a look back at

1 Exhibit 121, in your first -- second sentence it 1 get my objections the first time? says, "During the audit, we discussed various best THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I did. practices to ensure I-9 compliance." Was not MR. BISS: Okay, thank you. I won't repeat accepting expired IDs one of the best practices you 5 discussed? 5 **A** Not that I recall. 6 I don't remember. I would have given the spill (By Ms. Hauser) Do you have any knowledge of the about making sure it's a valid, unexpired List A. B. factors that courts or ICE consider to be evidence or C document. We talk about restrictions on Social of a knowing hire of an unauthorized worker? 8 q Security cards because a lot of lay people don't 9 **A** know what that means. They're to look. So exact 10 10 MR. BISS: Objection, relevance. 11 conversations, I don't know. If we had seen 11 Q (By Ms. Hauser) What are those factors? recurring items, we would have mentioned them as Hmm, well, first is admissions from the employer or 12 12 samething to avoid going forward. the employee would probably be the most common. 13 13 Second, not completing I-9s or leaving I-9, um, I'm going to hand you what's previously been marked 14 0 14 as Defendants' Exhibit 26. 16 MR. HUFF: Thank you. 16 Um, knowingly accept- -- or accepting ID 17 MR. BOYER: Uh-huh. 17 documents that appear on their face or that the employer would clearly know were -- were fraudulent. 18 0 (By Ms. Hauser) Ms. Bahena, taking a look at this 18 set of documents, do you recall whether this is an It becomes more of a practice question, to my 19 19 understanding, um, rather than an error or oversight 20 I-9 you reviewed as part of your audit? 20 21 **A** here and there. There needs to be a practice of it, my understanding is, unless it was a clear admission 22 And do you see that the, on page 1 the date that the 22 23 employee, month, day, year filled out the form is 23 from one known employee or employer. 24 5-10-2012? Do you see that? 24 And you've so advised clients generally or -- or --25 **A** 25 of these factors --

118 120

1 0 And then on page 3, the Minnesota identification card says it expires 4-22-2012, do you see that? 3 **A** 4 At the time in 2012, would that have been an Ω acceptable List B document to accept? 5 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion. 6 The expired ID card would not have been an Α acceptable List B document because it was expired at 8 the time that the I-9 was completed. 9 (By Ms. Hauser) IIm. and have you read any decisions 10 11 or opinions saying that accepting expired IDs is 12 evidence of a knowing hire of an undocumented --13 MR. BISS: Objection, relevance. 14 0 (By Ms. Hauser) -- worker? 15 MR. BISS: Objection, relevance, inadmissible 16 opinion. 17 THE COURT REPORTER: His objection came right 18 at the end of your answer -- question. MS. HAUSER: Sure. 19 (By Ms. Hauser) Do you have any -- have you read any 20 21 decisions or opinions stating that an employer 22 accepting expired IDs is evidence of a knowing hire 23 of an unauthorized worker?

MR. BISS: Did you get my opinion -- did you

Not that I --

25

1 A No, I ---- in your experience? MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion. 4 No, I don't get into that part of it. I advise them to, that they need to complete the I-9s with appropriate documents, that there are penalties for knowingly hirring an undocumented worker, including criminal fines, um, but to get into the legalities of the factors, I don't include that usually in my training, um, with them. 10 Um, I educate them, as best as I know how, that it's important to have an I-9 for every employee 12 with the correct documents, and if the documents don't look correct on their face, to do follow-up. 14 15 (By Ms. Hauser) And we've discussed today some of 16 those documents that don't look right on their face. 17 correct? Like where an employee's -- where the name 18 on an employee's Social Security card is spelled 19 differently than the name on their photo identification card? 20 Correct. 21 **A** 22 Correct. Um, I should note, if we're -- if I'm in 23 A an audit and I-9s for terminated employees are not in good shape, we use that as a learning going 25

forward. So, um. I don't spend a lot of time on 1 those, other than if I see recurring errors, to learn what not to do in the future and what to watch out for.

Um, but current employees we -- we, um, look much more carefully at those I-9s because it's an ongoing issue then.

I'm going to show you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 30. And, Ms. Bahena, if you'll take a look at Exhibit 30, you'll note at the bottom of the first page it's signed by Lori Nunes on May 23rd, 2011. Do you see that?

13 **A**

8

10

11

12

10

11

12

14

14 And on page 3 the resident alien card presented expired in 2009, do you see that?

16 A Yes.

17 Do you recall whether this was an I-9 and supporting 18 documentation you reviewed as part of your audit?

I do not recall. 19

20 0 And if you had, would you have advised NuStar that this expired resident alien card was not acceptable? 22 MR. BISS: Objection, speculation.

23 If it is a terminated employee, I would have noted 2.4 it and marked the date for how long you had to 25

ask more questions about the employee's documents? I would have just said, you know: Look at their documents and make sure things are consistent. Um.

This looks like a terminated employee, at the time we reviewed it, so probably just set it in with the terminated employees because we can't do anything at that point. He's not working there anymore.

But, I mean, I would have just -- I just say, "Make sure that the documents are all on the face," and that the employee, because there are a lot of --I do know there are a lot of literacy issues and things in -- for dairy worker crews, that they are accurately filling out section 1, based on the information on there, that it matches their ID.

Um, and here it's obvious that it doesn't.

Right. The date of birth is different, um, on the 18 I-9 and the green card. 19

20 And do you see on page 1 of the I-9 the employee 21 checked the box called "A noncitizen national of the United States"?

23 A

5

10

11

12

13

14

16

24 Do you see that? Do you have an understanding that that notation applies to a very small number of 25

122

If it was a current employee, I would have advised them to go and ask for, um, an unexpired card to correct the T-9.

MS. HAUSER: I'm going to ask the court reporter to mark as Exhibits 131 and 132. Exhibit 131 is going to bear the Bates numbers PX 3176 to 3178 and also PX 2231. And Exhibit 132 will bear the Bates number PX 4165.

(Exhibit 131 marked for identification.)

(Exhibit 132 marked for identification) (By Ms. Hauser) Ms. Bahena, did you encounter in

your audit any I-9s and supporting documents where the employee entered one birth date in section 1 on Form T-9 but did not match the birth date on the employee's identification document?

16 **A** Um, not that I recall.

17 If you'll take a look at Exhibit 131, sorry, 18 Exhibit 131, on 131 do you see that the employee entered a date of birth of on part 1 of 19 20 Form I-9 and on the next page you'll see that the 21 date of birth on the permanent resident card is

Do you see that?

I do see that. 23 A

Did you give any advice to NuStar that mismatched birthdays were a sign that the employee -- or should 25

individuals born in, say, American Samoa and others? Yes, I -- I know that. Um, a lot of lay people

don't know that though.

And did you discuss with anyone at NuStar that if an employee checks "A noncitizen national of the United States," that might -- that that only accounts -- or only applies to certain identification documents?

I don't recall having that conversation, but we go through the form and what the different check boxes mean, so I would imagine that I said -- and I --10 very few people are going to be able to check

noncitizen national. 12

And looking at this employee's documents identification documents, does it appear that this employee satisfies the requirements to check a "Noncitizen national of the United States"?

17 Based on the --

MR. BISS: Object to the form, speculation.

Based on the documents that are photocopied here, no. I would expect this person would either do lawful permanent resident or at this point they may have -- may be a citizen, but, um, yeah, not -- not a noncitizen national.

(By Ms. Hauser) Um, going back to -- going to the 132, do you see the two post-its on the front of the 25

13

14

16

18

19

20

21

22

Form T-92 if -- I guess you could maybe fill it out or make an 1 1 argument if they presented an unexpired green card, 2 **A** but you're really not supposed to -- you're not 3 0 On the post-it with dates on it, is that your supposed to reverify for green cards, so I think 5 Α Yes, it looks like how I write sometimes. that might have just been a misunderstanding and he What about the post-it that says "complete" signed a blank he didn't need to. He did not sign something "in"? anything while we were there, so... Oh, no, the "S" and "T," that does not look like my 8 Did you meet with Anthony Nunes the Third while you 8 q handwriting. were there? That's Lori's husband? Just --The post-it that says: Complete in red --Yes. Um, he didn't sit down or look at any 10 10 11 complete, I think in red -- yes, red ink. "Complete 11 documents, um, because he was working. I red ink," that is my handwriting. remember -- hmm, he came in and said hello and. 12 12 And what about "Shred Jan 2019"? that -- you know, generally, "Thanks for coming. 13 Q 13 That would be either my associate that was there, I Um, Lori has been upset." He seemed very pleasant. 14 **A** 14 may have had her writing post-its for me as I Um, he was not there very long and, um, mentioned dictated them, or Lori. that -- yeah, I think -- I don't even remember. We 16 16 mostly talked about -- we chatted briefly about him 17 And the start and -- the "S" and "T," were those 17 moving from California to Iowa and what that was 18 start and termination dates based on the employee 18 like for him. And I don't remember anything else 19 19 list that you reviewed when you were there? 20 MR. BISS: Object to the form. 20 about the conversation. I've been trying to I don't recall. Um, I do ask for the list to have start and termination dates, so that's the most --22 22 Do you recall whether you gave any I-9 compliance or 23 so that's the clearest. That's the most likely that 23 other legal advice to Mr. Nunes? 24 there was an employee list with start and 24 **A** I don't remember if he was present when I was explaining that or if he came in after. He was not termination dates, or Lori may have been looking at 25 25

> 126 128

> > there the whole time.

associate took any notes during the audit? No, she didn't. I just had her helping with -- it was her first audit ever, so I had her helping with. like, writing the post-its that I told her to write, and, yeah, it was -- she wouldn't have known what notes to take, I don't think. And to the extent she left any files at the firm at 9 the time of our subpoena, did anyone review to see 10 11 if there were any notes from this file? No. She didn't work for us as an associate that 12 A long, and everything that she left we've gone through and sorted and, um -- at least a year ago, 14 and put in the appropriate files, or over. 16 0 Um, going back to Exhibit, the one that I just asked 17 you about on 132, do you see at the bottom of the 18 page Anthony Nunes appears to have signed the

And, again, what would have had to happen for

I think he may have been trying to check all the

boxes at that point and just oversigned the form, so

I won't -- I don't -- the reverification would be

someone to sign a reverification section?

another place, but I think it was the list.

(By Ms. Hauser) Um, do you recall whether your

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry to interrupt, this is the videographer. In about five minutes we have to change the tape. MS. HAUSER: We can go off the record right now. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. This ends media unit number 3 in the video recorded Zoom deposition of Amanda Bahena. And we will continue with media unit number 4 10 I'm sorry if I'm butchering that. 12 We're going off the record at 2:22 Central 13 14 (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This begins media unit 16 number 4 in the video recorded Zoom deposition of 17 Amanda Bahena. 18 We're back on the record at 2:46. 19 MR. BISS: Kristen, just before you begin, I 20 just want to put something on the record. 21 During -- at some point in time before the last break Nate sent me an e-mail that, which he 23 forwarded to me, which is an e-mail that contains a copy of an invoice. I think it's dated November 13,

25

reverification section?

19

22

25

20 **A**

21 0

23 A

2018. That e-mail was sent -- it looks like it was

forwarded by Steve Huff directly to Nate, no copies. That shouldn't have been done. That e-mail potentially contains privileged communications. The privilege belongs to the client in this case. So I just want to put that on the record that to the extent that there is any privileged material in that invoice, the production to Nate does not in any way waive the attorney/client privilege.

Also, whether or not the privilege has been overruled by the Court, whether or not this document is part of the documents that -- that the Court has already ruled on, um, regardless of that, I'm going to mark the document Counsel's Eyes Only. So, um, I just want to put that on the record. At some point in time I'm going to put that big stamp on that document.

Um, so with that said, I'll turn it back over to you, Kristen. Thank you.

MS. HAUSER: Thanks, Steve. I just wrote the letters "CEO" on the piece of paper that you're talking about that's sitting in front of me.

MR. BISS: Okay. Thank you. (By Ms. Hauser) Ms. Bahena, I'm going to hand you 23 2.4 what's previously been marked as Defendants'

Exhibit 36.

5

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

22

25

cards you've seen in your experience?

It looks like an older version. Um, I'd have to look at the, um, online to compare it to cards from that time. And I don't -- you don't see that many cards like this. Well, is there an expiration? I have to look. They've gone through some different versions, um, so I'd have to look online to compare it to prior versions. This was from before I started practicing immigration law.

10 And how about the Social Security card, do you see 11 that the last name is missing a letter?

12 **A**

1

5

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

12

13

14

16

17

18

13 Does that strike you as a valid Social Security 14 card?

MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.

Um, I would -- well, it wouldn't have been required 16 **A** for the I-9, but it could raise questions. Um, in any case, um, I would have had the employer ask more to, I would think, and I'm no W-4 expert, but, um, making sure that the -- the W-4 is correct as well.

> Um, there are sometimes misspellings on Social Security cards. If this was a current employee and they'd asked me about it, I would have suggested that they follow up with the employee to make sure that his name and Social Security number are

Have you had a chance to look at Defendants' Exhibit 36?

3 **A**

Do you recall whether you reviewed this I-9 and supporting document as part of your audit?

6 А I do not remember.

If you'll take a look at page 1, the employee spells his last name in section 1 as and on the third page the resident alien card presented also spends -- spells the last name And the Social Security card says Do you see that?

13 **A**

10

11

12

16

18

19

20

21

23

14 Um, do you have an understanding as to whether these documents would have authorized this employee to work in the United States?

17 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.

> Well, the resident alien card, um, would be a List A document. Um, I don't know -- this -- the I-9 is not complete so I don't know when this individual, the first day of work. Um, so I would -- the resident alien card would be a List A document, if that was what was presented.

(By Ms. Hauser) And looking at the resident alien 25 card, does this appear like valid resident alien

correct. If ICE would try to verify this employee. it wouldn't come up as a mismatch even if he's work authorized.

(By Ms. Hauser) And did -- did you, as part of your audit, review I-9 and supporting documents where the employee checked the U.S. citizen box in section 1 but did not present a valid document to establish that they were a citizen of the United States?

I don't remember. 9

MS. HAUSER: I'm going to ask the court 10 11 reporter to mark as exhibits --

What are the next -- where did we leave off? -- as Exhibits 133 and 134. 133 is a document bearing the Bates numbers PX 3128 to 3131 and also 2262. And the next exhibit is bearing Bates numbers PX 4182 to 4183.

(Exhibit 133 marked for identification.) (Exhibit 134 marked for identification.)

(By Ms. Hauser) Ms. Bahena, would you take a look at 19

20 Exhibit 134, please?

21 **A**

22 Do you recall whether you reviewed this I-9 as part of your audit? 23

It appears I did. I don't remember the time, but looking at the notes, it appears I did. 25

- Um, and if you look at Exhibit 133, do you see that 1 0 the employee checked the box called, "A citizen of the United States"? 5 0 And if you look at page 3 of that document, the employee presented a document entitled "Permanent Resident Card". Do you see that? 8 Is that document a valid document to show U.S. 9 Q 10 citizenship? 11 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion. I would have to look into that. This is probably 12
- 12 A I would have to look into that. This is probably
 13 one where I would have looked at my guidance, um,
 14 this is one if they check "citizen," I don't come
 15 across this much, and present a permanent resident
 16 card, is that okay. Because you can't pick and
 17 choose. Um, so I apologize, I wouldn't be able to
 18 say offhand if that's sufficient.
 19 Q So you don't recall whether this is one you asked
- 20 Lori or Mrs. Nunes?
 21 A I don't recall. That's a unique situation, so I
 22 don't remember what I would have advised. I would
 23 have looked at the, it's the M-274, um, to see how
 24 to handle a situation like that.
 25 Q And the M-274 is the manual that's also available

- should -- that they should question the employee.
- If the document does not look valid on its face, ask
- them if they have a different type of document they

 can present. And if that document also just raises
- more questions, if it's a different name or
- samething, just, you don't proceed.
- 7 Q I'm going to hand you what's previously been marked 8 as Defendants' Exhibit 25. Do you recall whether 9 you reviewed this I-9 and supporting document as
- 10 part of your audit?
- 11 A No, I don't remember.
- 12 Q Um, and do you see on page 1 the employee checked 13 off "Noncitizen National of the United States"?
- 14 A I do see that.
- 15 Q Um, and do the attached documents suggest that that 16 employee checked the correct box?
- 17 A I wouldn't know from the attached documents because
 18 you could come in from, say, the Marshall Islands
 19 and get a Texas ID, so I would not know. And I I
 20 don't know what a nonemployee (sic) national Social
- 22 O And do you see any typos on the Texas ID card?

Security card would look like.

- 23 A Depart— -- Department is spelled "Department" of Public "Safery".
- 25 Q Is this the type of document that might, or that

134 136

- online on the ICE website?
- A Connect.
- 3 Q Did you advise anyone at NuStar to take a look at 4 the field guide M-274?
- 5 A I typically say, as questions arise, "You can check 6 the M-274 first. It may save you a phone call to
- 7 me, because that is the most up-to-date
- 8 instructions."
- 9 Q And are there also available -- when an employer
- 10 goes to the CIS website to download Forms I-9,
- 11 there's also a document called: Instructions on how
- 12 to fill out Form I-9; is that right?
- 13 A Part of the form themselves, there are some, like, 14 limited instructions on the form itself, so part of
- the I-9, which is actually -- I mean, depending on
- the version. But like a nine-page form is
- instructions. Um, I don't -- I'll have to look. I
- think the new one they don't do that anymore, but...
- 19 Q $\,$ Um, other than documents we've already looked at
- 20 today, did you give advice to NuStar that if they
- 21 noticed any obvious typos on identification
- 22 documents that that should cause them to question
- the employee about, further about their documents?
- 24 A Yes. Like if on the face something doesn't look 25 right, typos, um, not like a doc- -- yeah, that they

- 1 should cause an employer to question the employee a
- 2 little more --
- 3 A Yeah.

21

- 4 Q -- about their documents?
- 5 A Yes
- 6 MR. BISS: Objection, speculation, inadmissible
- 7 opinion.
- 8 A This would be an example of a document that we would 9 say, "If you notice the typos, you should follow up
- 10 on that."
- 11 Q (By Ms. Hauser) Um, and just to close the loop, none
- 12 of the section 2 is filled out for this employee on
- 13 Form I-97
- 14 A Right.
- 15 $\,$ Q $\,$ And, again, that would be something that the
 - employer should fill out?
- 17 A Yes.

- 18 Q I'm going to hand you what's previously been marked
- 19 as Exhibit 15.
- 20 Have you had a chance to take a look at this
- 21 document. Ms. Bahena?
- 22 **A Yes.**
- 23 Q And do you recall reviewing this I-9 with NuStar?
- 24 A I do not remember.
- 25 Q And if this employee was a current employee at the

time, do you see anything on the form that would cause you to have given additional advice?

MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion. Well, the List B and C are blank, so I would have advised them to fill that in by the person who inspected the document, if possible.

5

10

11

12

13

14

22

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

Um, and then they have -- a non-citizen national of the U.S. is checked, um, on the front page, but looking at the documents provided, I don't know if I would have advised them to, um, to push the employee if he's truly a non-citizen national, because a non-citizen national could have, under -based on my understanding, gotten these documents. So if I'm incorrect, I -- if the Social Security card would be different.

16 What about the 900 series on the Social Security 17

I -- I may have missed that, the 900 series, if it's 18 Α only ITINs can be 900s. So I don't know if I -- I 19 don't know if I would have told them that they 20 21 should follow up on this one.

23 that. Are you confident in your audit at NuStar? Well, we do the best that we can. Um, there's a lot 24 A

You've said a few times today: I might have missed

of forms and a lot of documents. I don't know if I 25

1 0 (By Ms. Hauser) So in your review, did you talk with Mrs. Nunes or anyone at NuStar more in depth about any particular employee other than what was on the face of the documents you looked at?

We didn't talk in depth on particular employees, no. 5 A

So it was not communicated to you during the audit that this employee had lived on their -- in their -in Nunes' family-owned property for several years?

9 **A**

10

11

12

And I'm going to hand you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 20. Do you recall reviewing this T-9 and supporting document while you were at

13

I don't remember. 14 **A**

Um, and did you -- um, do you see -- I'll represent 16 to you this employee was a current employee at the time of the audit and thereafter. Um, do you see on 17 page 1 of the T-9 the address is filled in with an 18 19 initial from Lori in part 1?

20 **A**

21 0 Um, did you expect that -- did you give advice to 22 NuStar that they should fill in section 1 if the 23 employee was still there?

24 **A** No, I said the employee should, um, they should go and ask the employee to finish section 1 if there 25

138

reviewed this one specifically.

So I'm confident that we went there; we gave them correct instructions on how to fill out I-9s. And we marked the I-9s that needed follow-up. Did I catch every misspelling, like the may have missed that. We went through a lot of documents. So, um, is every I-9 I do, review I do perfect? I wish, but there may be things that I miss. Um, especially with terminated employees, we don't get as far into those unless we're seeing recurring errors because there's not much you can do.

Um, so if this was a -- if this was a current employee, um, I -- I think a lot of people wouldn't know to -- I don't know, looking at this, if they should be pushed on the noncitizen national issue.

The num- -- the Social Security number starting with a nine, I would have to look into if that's a reason to go back and push on an employee.

What about if the employee had lived in housing provided by NuStar in Towa for the last several years but had a California driver's license? MR. BISS: Objection, speculation.

That wouldn't come up in my review of the document on its face.

were open items. Unless the employee wasn't there. and then -- and then there's really no other option.

And do you see that the employee checked the box called "A noncitizen national of the United States"?

5 **A**

And do you see on the second page that the employee, 6 0 at least the copy here, is that of a permanent resident card?

Uh-huh.

IIm. do those -- are those -- are that check box and 10 11

No. That would have caused me to, um, suggest that 12 A 13 they go talk to the employee to see if they filled 14 out section 1 correctly.

And did you talk to them -- did you give that advice 16 with respect to this then current employee?

17 I can't say with a hundred percent certainty, but I would assume that I said to check why did they check 18 noncitizen national if they presented a permanent 19 20 resident card. Sometimes it's just a mistake by the 21 employee.

22 And do you see on the permanent resident card that 23 it has the notation "INS"?

Oh, I see that now, yes.

25 O Is that a notation that appeared on permanent

1 resident cards at that time? No, I do not believe so. That should at least say ICE or CIS? Or what should 3 5 Α CIS, I believe, or just an "A" number, I think. I would have to look at an example. Do you recall discussing this discrepancy with anyone at NuStar at the time? 8 9 Α MR. BISS: Objection to form. 10 11 Α No, I don't recall that. 12 (By Ms. Hauser) And do you see the, on the back of 13 the card, on the last page of the exhibit --14 **A** -- do you see there's a reference to the U.S. 16 Department of Justice? 17 18 Is that something that appeared on permanent 19 resident cards? 20 I don't know. I'd have to look at a card. I

believe it would be U.S. Citizenship and Immigration

I'm going to hand you what's been previously marked

reviewing this I-9 and supporting document as part

as Exhibit 21. Um, Ms. Bahena, do you recall

1 MR BISS: Asked and answered

I don't believe I would have.

(By Ms. Hauser) And why is that? 0 3

It probably didn't jump out at me that it said "INS" rather than just a number.

And you don't recall any advice you gave with

respect to this employee?

I don't recall.

Ms. Bahena, have you had a chance to take a look at 9

10 Exhibit 109?

11 **A**

12 0 On the second page, by section 2 there's a note

13 "Internal Audit, 10/18". Do you see that?

14 **A**

Um, is this one of the I-9 forms that you corrected

with Mrs. Nunes? 16

I think we -- in those I think there's a couple that we added the employee's first -- the employee's 18

19 first date of employment. Yes, that seems

20 consistent with what I remember.

21 0 And it appears the signature was filled in later by

23 A It appears so, yes.

24 0 And do you recall any specific discussion about this

25 Form I-9?

142 144

of your audit?

I do not recall.

And I'll represent to you that this was a then

current employee at NuStar at the time.

A IIh-huh 5

22

23

24

25

Um, if you'd look at the Social Security card on the 6

third page, does that Social Security card in your

experience look valid on its face? 8

MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.

I -- I would say yes. Nothing jumps out at me. 10

11 (By Ms. Hauser) And the font being off center, that

12 doesn't strike you as odd?

13 **A** I guess I don't --

MR. BISS: Asked and answered. 14

15 I don't see the --

MR. HUFF: The "USA".

17 (By Ms. Hauser) The "USA" right in the middle. 0

18 MR. BISS: Asked and answered.

19 It -- it didn't jump out at me. And I --

(By Ms. Hauser) And how about on the permanent 20 O

21 resident card, do you see that it says again "INS"?

22

16

2.3 0 Um, did you discuss that with anyone at NuStar

regarding this employee's ID?

25 A

1 **A** I do not recall.

Um, do you recall knowing that section 2 was blank?

I would have noted that, yes. That would have been 3 an ongoing note of section 2 needs to be filled in.

on one said section two is not filled in.

And I think you said earlier, for the ones -- for a 6 0

current employee, did you advise NuStar to fill in

section 2?

Yes. My expectation was they were going to go and complete section 2 but ideally by the person who 10

looked at the documents. So, I don't know, I can't 11

speak to them, but it may not have been communicated 12

to Mr. Nunes to fill out section 2 himself. 13

And in your letter, in Exhibit 121 --14 0

15

16 0 -- there's a reference to, um, educating or training

17 the employee who's going to fill out the forms on

how to properly inspect documents.

Do you see that?

20 **A**

18

19

21 0 What was your advice in that regard?

22 Could you rephrase the question?

23 0 Sure. Um, was filling out section 2 part of the

advice that is part of that section of the letter?

I'm going to take -- I withdraw it. I'll ask a 25

1 better question At the bottom of your letter it says: As we discussed, the employer portion of the form should be filled out by the staff member who actually 5 inspects the employees' identification documents. 6 **A** 0 Did you communicate that in person to Mrs. Nunes? I did to Mrs. Nunes. Um, I think that -- I recall 8 that being a large part of the conversation, um, was one person was taking copies and the other person 10 11 was filling out the I-9, whether they had a misunderstanding of the rules or what. Um, and so 12 my understanding was whoever looked at these, um, or 13 whoever signed the bottom saving they looked at 14 those was going to be the person who finished section 2, but I never followed up to see if that 16 17

Um, and where it says, "If that," in your letter, 18 Ω "If that individual is not yourself, it is important 19 20 that you adequately train the inspecting staff 21 person." Was it your expectation that Mrs. Nunes 22 was going to educate Mr. Nunes on that? 23

I don't remember exactly. I just remember there were more people involved than I felt needed to be and it would be cleaner and they'd have more

2.4

25

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

1 **A** Completing, um, the T-9s, if -- if you don't have the documents that look valid to fill it out, then having the hard conversation and asking for those documents, and if they can't produce them, then, um, you have to terminate the employee. But other than that, no. It's a requirement that the I-9 be filled out completely.

8 Did you advise them that any employee ought to be terminated based on your audit?

No. If there was concerns on any I-9, I would have 10 set them aside and told them to follow up with the employee if there were questions. But would I terminate a employee simply based on an I-9? No.

How many did you set aside for them to follow up 14

16 A I do not recall.

17 There were some?

18 Δ Yes, there would have been some.

19 Current employees?

20 **A** Yes, same.

11

12

13

21 0 And that's not in your letter?

22 No, that's not in my letter.

23 Why not? 0

24 **A** It's -- we would have flagged them as blanks in the form and said, "Only employees can fill out the 25

accuracy if one person was involved. And that was more in line with the regulations as well. (Discussion off the record between Ms. Hauser

and Mr. Bover.) (By Ms. Hauser) Um, looking at your letter, um, it says in the beginning at the end of the third line, "During our audit, we did find some blanks in

Um, were there any forms where section 2 was filled out properly?

MR. BISS: Object to the form.

certain forms."

I believe so. Otherwise -- yeah, I don't remember. but I would have -- yeah, I believe there was, but I don't remember, and I couldn't say which ones. It seems different people, they had used different practices over time. For a while Iori did them, and then they kind of switched the practice, um, so it kind of depended on their practice, I think, in any given year how -- how they were filled out. Did it -- did you express any -- aside from what's in your letter, what did you communicate to NuStar about any concerns you had about the blanks? Is there more that you discussed with them than what's

in your letter or what we already talked about today

first page. You have to do the second page." But that's part of completing the I-9.

You say at the end of the first paragraph, "The solution to this is for the employer to carefully review the employee and employer portion of the form to ensure that every required question is answered."

Do you see that?

8 A

3

Did you give any other advice about that other than what we've already talked about today? 10

Other than what we've talked about today, I don't 11 believe so. 12

13 The second paragraph of your letter you discussed 14 storage. Did you express any concerns to NuStar about how the I-9 forms were being stored at the 16 time?

17 I think they had questions about how to store I-9 18 forms and what the rules were, um, so that was just a follow-up. But I didn't think that electronic 19 storage for them would be the best just because 20 21 there's a lot of regulations and they're, yeah, they're not Human Resources trained, so --

> THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry? They're not? THE DEPONENT: Like trained in Human Resources specifically.

23

25

concerning blanks that you found?

1

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

6

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1 A So I had worries that maybe the electronic storage
2 would not be the best.

- 3 Q (By Ms. Hauser) Um, and that's because there are
 4 certain security requirements around electronic
 5 storage, et cetera?
- 6 A Right. That's actually why I don't copy the I-9s
 7 that I review because I don't want to run afoul of
 8 electronic storage of I-9s.
- 9 Q Um, in the third paragraph, we already talked about
 10 the different color pen. And then the next sentence
 11 says, "It will show the government that you are
 12 putting forth the best efforts to comply with I-9
 13 requirements." What did you discuss with NuStar
 14 about that?
- 14 Oh, I mean, as we reviewed these documents, we don't want to try to fix errors without showing what 16 17 you're doing, because that could be fraud and it will just raise more questions if there's an audit. 18 It's very -- I've seen people who have tried to do 19 their own internal audits, and, um, not clearly mark 20 21 which questions or what things they added and then 22 it calls all of the I-9s into question from the beginning. Um, so, I mean, both to avoid looking 23 like you're committing fraud or something and to 24 show these were the only issues we needed to correct 25

person who viewed them the first time, if possible, was the person, if the attestation wasn't complete, that completed the attestation to be consistent.

I wanted the person who, if the line 2 was blank and completed the attestation -- like if Anthony had completed the attestation but not completed part 2, I wanted Anthony to complete part 2.

I wanted to avoid wide — I counseled them not to do a widespread reverification, um, of every employee, even though they were nervous, because that also is, you can run into discrimination, but if there were employee documents that looked invalid, to follow up on those. I didn't, in so many — yeah, I don't know exactly how I put that, but that was my message at the time and — and — and my philosophy.

18 Q (By Ms. Hauser) And on the ones you counseled them
19 to follow up on, did you counsel them to ask for
20 originals again or to review the photocopies?

21 A If I — if I saw an issue with a photocopy, it would
22 have been: This document doesn't look correct. You
23 should ask for an alternate document.

24 Q And you did do that on this audit?

25 A I don't remember specific employees or which

150 152

on this I-9, not the whole I-9, I make sure that they're indicating what connections they're making.

Q And so you had communicated to someone at NuStar that as the documents existed at the time of your review, they were not in compliance with I-9 requirements?

MR. BISS: Object to the form.

It's a bit of a loaded question, because it's rare to go to any I-9 review, and I don't know if anyone has, and find perfect I-9s. It's just the nature of I-9s. Un, so were there things they needed to correct, were there I-9s that were not in compliance? Yes. Were there I-9s that, un, were too late to correct? Yes. Un, were there I-9s that had technical errors that could be corrected? Yes. So I advised them to make the corrections they were able to make.

21 **A Yes.**

6

8

10

12

13

14

16

17

22 Q -- did you advise NuStar that they should be taking 23 another look at the photocopies of the

24 identification documents?

25 A To some extent. Um, I wanted to make sure the

documents, but if I saw a document that looked obviously false, I would have said: Follow up and ask if they have another document or like an expired (sic) one for a current employee, or do --yeah, look into it.

Q So, for example, when we looked at Defendants'
Exhibit 17 and 18, um, there's only a Social
Security card and no identification document. Did
you advise NuStar to ask that employee for
additional documentation?

A I -- if I saw this, my practice would be to advise them to ask for a List A or List B document. I don't recall the exact conversation or the exact reviewing this I-9.

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, reviewing?
THE DEPONENT: This exact I-9.

Q (By Ms. Hauser) What did you mean in the last paragraph, or the last full paragraph of your letter, um, to inspect the employee documents?

What's -- what's part of an inspection? Sorry,

A Okay. Well, an I-9 -- inspecting the employee documents would be the person -- you're supposed to handle the original document. So the person who's handling the original document is supposed to be the

person that does the attestation. 1 1 had marked the document CEO? And they're attesting that they've checked the MS. HAUSER: Yes. document to make sure it appears valid on its face? MR. BISS: I take it that's Counsel's Eyes Only? 5 And that it relates to the person who filled out the MS. HAUSER: Correct. Form I-9 with their name? MR. BISS: Okay. Thanks. Α Yes. (Exhibit 135 marked for identification.) And it says, "If that individual is not yourself, it 8 (By Ms. Hauser) And, Ms. Bahena, the court reporter is important that you adequately train the has just handed you Exhibit 135. inspecting staff person." What -- other than what 10 10 MS. HAUSER: And I just want to state for the 11 we've already talked about today, was there anything 11 record: The handwritten letters "CEO" on the bottom else you discussed with NuStar about that training? 12 12 right corner are my handwriting and not part of the I remember Lori was mentioning that she felt she had 13 A 13 original document. a lot on her plate and that she was actively 14 14 (By Ms. Hauser) Ms. Bahena, what is the document involved -- I mean, if I remember right, they have kids, and she was helping with calves and -- and 16 16 A This is a regenerated invoice from our billing talking about chores, and then the I-9s she felt I system for, um, the services to NuStar from 2018. 17 17 think -- I don't want -- like there was a lot for And does this document refresh your recollection as 18 18 her to do. Um, so we mentioned, "You could, you 19 19 to when you visited the farm? 20 know, hire sameane. But if you hire sameane, then 20 **A** you need to make sure they're trained," so ... 21 0 And when was that? "Like an employee could do this. It doesn't need to 22 **A** It was October 8th, 2018. 23 be an owner or manager." 23 Um, and does this invoice capture the sum and Do you recall any specific indicia of invalidity 24 substance of all the work that you did on NuStar's 24 0 that you, regarding documents that you spoke to the, I-9 audit? 25 25

154

NuStar about? I don't remember. Did you have such a conversation? I remember saying, "You need to make sure documents look valid on their face. Um, there's ways that you can get trained up in that." But, I mean, we didn't have this lecture on seeing valid versus invalid documents, no, we didn't get into the in-depth training on that. Did anvone at NuStar ask you: What can -- what 10 Ω 11 should I look for? I don't remember. 12 A 13 Q You don't remember one way or another or you didn't? I don't remember. I don't remember. If they had 14 **A** asked, I would have probably pointed them to online 16 resources where you can compare what a document 17 looked like at that date and time. I'm going to hand you --18 19 MS. HAUSER: -- hand the court reporter a new document to mark as Exhibit --20 21 THE COURT REPORTER: 135. MS. HAUSER: -- 135. This is a copy of 23 invoices dated November 13th of 2018 that were

produced during the deposition.

25

MR. BISS: Kristen, you said earlier that you

1 **A** What did you discuss with NuStar in terms of options for sponsoring future employees? 4 I don't recall the exact conversation, but it would have been similar to the H-2As, the TNs and the green cards. I get those questions a lot. That we discussed earlier today? Α And there's a reference to additional I-9 training. Um, did that comprise anything other than what we've 10 already talked about today? No, I don't believe so. 12 A 13 Um, did Mrs. Nunes ask about options for sponsoring 14 future employees? I don't remember if she asked or if I offered some 16 ideas to fill some gaps. 17 Did they express to you they had employee, excuse 18 me, staffing needs? I don't remember. 19 **A** 20 Q What gaps were you trying to fill? 21 **A** Well, she felt that --22 MR. BISS: Object to the form. 23 A She -- like the TNs, the ones I would have suggested, for example, would have been a higher level employee, and I remember she was talking about 25

how it's very busy and a lot of work, um, so T mentioned other dairies can get an animal scientist and breeders under these programs, and, um -- yeah, I probably -- yeah, and if -- petitioning long term for milkers, but I don't remember the exact conversation. Those would be long-term planning. (By Ms. Hauser) Um, did you advise NuStar that, quote, everything was fine with their I-9 documents? T wouldn't have used those exact words. 10 Did you give them, in sum and substance, that 11 I do remember saving that I could -- I could -- to 12 A this effect: I could tell that she was trying to 13 comply. She seemed very anxious about whether or 14 not she had done things correctly, and I assured her that it seemed like she was trying to comply. 16 Did you -- asked by -- were you asked by NuStar to 17 18 judge the validity of any underlying supporting 19 documents? 20 **A** Aside from the I-9s? 21 0 Correct. 22

1 0 And that didn't happen at NuStar?

No, I didn't see any original IDs.

3 Did you advise anyone at NuStar that certain

employees may have provided fake documents?

5 Α I wouldn't be able to say with certainty at NuStar at that audit if I had or not. I -- I don't

remember. I would have taken an I-9 at a time. And you have no recollection if at any time you said 8

to anyone that an employee may have provided a fake 10 document?

11 A I don't recall. But if I had seen one that jumped 12

out at me, I would say, you know: Follow up on this, if they were still a current employee, or:

Set it aside. 14

13

Did you advise anyone at NuStar that employees may

16 be engaging in identity theft?

No. Like using someone else's name and ID? No, I didn't advise that. 18

19 Did you advise them on the risks that some workers 20 may be using false Social Security numbers or ...?

21 **A**

22 Do you know how many?

23

24 o Did you advise them that certain workers may not be

properly authorized to work in the U.S.? 25

158

1 **A**

23

25

0 24

Did NuStar ask you to advise them who among their

So part of your audit wasn't to tell NuStar who

among the employees had provided valid versus

employees were legal to work in the U.S.?

A

0 Did they ask you to let them know who you might have 5

suspected was not legal to work in the U.S.?

I don't remember that exact question.

Was there a question like it?

invalid documents?

Or like -- I don't remember them asking like looking through these I-9s, is there, you know, other than 10 the I-9 review, beyond the I-9 review. I guess we 11 looked through the I-9s and she wanted to make sure 12 13 she was doing the paperwork correctly.

14 0 In your experience doing I-9 audits for clients generally, um, do you provide services beyond just 16 seeing if the paperwork is done correctly?

17 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.

No. Do I go out and interview employees or 18 anything? No, I do not do that. 19

(By Ms. Hauser) But if identification copies are 20 21 made available to you during an audit, you certainly

Yes. If someone brought me an ID and asked me, an 23 original ID and asked me to look it over, I would be open to doing that. 25

1 **A** Yes. I explained that documents can look fine on their face but that doesn't guarantee that it's -it's an authorized worker.

And did you reference any specific employees in that conversation?

6 **A**

Did your I-9 audits speak to the issue of whether

NuStar had a legal workforce?

Α

10 And NuStar didn't ask you about that? Ο

No. I mean, I wouldn't be able to opine that based 11

12 on the I-9 audit. I would have had to do further 13 investigation.

14 And you weren't asked to do any further

investigation?

16 **A**

17 And you didn't offer to do any?

18 I would have referred something like that out most 19 likely.

20 Is it possible that some of the workers whose I-9s 21 you reviewed are, in fact, not properly authorized

23 A Yes.

24 MR. BISS: Objection, speculation, inadmissible 25 opinion.

1 0 (By Ms. Hauser) Do you agree that having a complete I-9 on file for a worker is no quarantee that the person is legal to work in the U.S.? 4 A 5 MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion. (By Ms. Hauser) Did you give any advice to NuStar on the government's offer of a program called E-Verify? What did you discuss in that regard? 9 Q I tell them that there is an optional program 10 11 available, E-Verify, ran through a little bit how that works, um, and that it can reduce the risk of 12 13

having employees, for example, that their documents look fine but they're fraudulent. Um, I don't know 14 if we went farther than -- I mean, I don't recall the exact conversation, but I do bring up E-Verify 16 17

Um. did you give any specific advice to NuStar as to 18 whether they should or should not use it or consider 19 20 using it?

21 **A** Kind of --

22 MR. BISS: Asked and answered.

I mean, I don't remember the exact conversation, but 23 24 I just give them the pros and cons and leave that up to the individual employer. Um, yeah, I just --25

1 0 If ICE was going to conduct a review of a business'

I-9s, they would review the photocopies of the IDs

if an employer had those on file?

Yes, if they were --

5

17

MR. BISS: Object to the form, speculation, inadmissible opinion.

0 (By Ms. Hauser) Based on your experience?

Based on my experience, if they're attached to the I-9, they will review them as part of the I-9.

10 Based on your experience, would ICE check the 0 11 employee's proffered names, birthdays and Social 12 Security numbers against databases to determine if 13 they match the government's records?

14 Based on my experience, ves.

MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion. (By Ms. Hauser) And if they didn't match, would they 16 0 notify the business?

18 Based on --

19 MR. BISS: Objection, speculation, inadmissible 20 opinion.

21 **A** Based on my experience, they will provide a list of 22 the names of employees who do not match.

23 (By Ms. Hauser) And if an employee -- employer 24 received a no-match letter from the government, can 25 an employer also access who, in its employee, among

yeah, I let them weigh it. And I don't tell them to do it or not to do it.

Q Did Mrs. Nunes say anything in response to that advice?

5 **A** I don't remember.

6 In giving advice on the risks that some workers may be using false Social Security numbers, did 8 Mrs. Nunes say anything in response to that advice?

I don't remember. 9

MR. BISS: Object to the form of the question. 10 11 (By Ms. Hauser) What are the pros and cons of

12 E-Verify? 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

Well, the pro is it's -- it allows you to check to make sure the employee's, um, name matches their Social Security number in the Social Security database, so it can reduce the risk that you are unknowingly employing undocumented workers going forward.

Um, the cons, it's more paperwork that needs to be done. And you can't go back and verify existing employees, so it's looking forward only.

Um, you need -- ance you decide to do it, you need to -- it's -- essentially you need to do it forever going forward. So it's a commitment to do that.

its employees, came back as the no match?

MR. BISS: Objection to form, speculation, inadmissible opinion.

A no-match letter from ICE like in the context of 5 **A**

(By Ms. Hauser) Sorry. Do you have an understanding Q that at some point the government revived a practice of sending to employers a notice that employees for whom they had submitted wage information did not match the Social Security Administration's records?

12 A Yes.

10

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

13 0 If an employer receives such a letter, do you have an understanding that the employer can follow up online to find out which of its employees produced the no-match results?

MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.

There's instructions, um, to employers, through the Social Security Administration, on how to handle those no-match letters. When I get questions for them, I direct them to that. And there's a form letter that you're supposed to give to every employee that you get a no-match letter for to let them know that you got a no-match letter.

Um, and then I -- it depends on the employer

situation and the no-match letter, so I wouldn't be able to -- I don't feel comfortable just giving general advice on how to handle no-match letters because it depends on the employer. 5 (By Ms. Hauser) Is one other con of E-Verify that it may prevent you from being able to hire someone? MR. BISS: Object to the form, inadmissible 8 opinion. (By Ms. Hauser) Based on your experience? 9 If someone comes up as a tentative non-confirmation 10 11 in E-Verify, then before you hire them you need to have them go -- like, you let them know -- there's 12 these specific notices that you get. Um, and if the 13 situation isn't resolved in the statutory period of 14 time under the regulations, then you should not -then you cannot hire that worker, yes. 16 17 Did Mrs. Nunes ask you about visa sponsorship for 18 any specific current employees? 19 20 O Did she ask if it was possible for NuStar to get

legal status for any existing employees?

And of the pros and cons of E-Verify that you

testified about in the last few minutes, did you

I don't remember.

tell those to Mrs. Nunes?

9

10

11

Security database, then you have a certain amount of time to terminate that employee. And in my experience usually that time is, they give you a time, and then you negotiate, um, with the government if you can keep employees who are willing to stay on a little longer, just for the business, you know, depending on the extent of the situation.

or corrected documents so that it does match Social

(By Ms. Hauser) And did Mrs. Nunes ask you, in sum or substance, if -- if NuStar would lose employees if ICE did an audit?

I don't know if she asked me specifically, but I 12 **A** would have warned her of the risk. 13

What other risks of an ICE audit did you inform her 14 0

16 A Civil and -- civil monetary penalties, um, and if 17 ICE had any reason to believe that anyone was knowingly employing an unauthorized worker, there 18 could be up to criminal penalties. 19

20 And did you discuss any of the discrepancies in 21 their practices that could result in such penalties?

Civil penalties, yes. I didn't see anything in my 22 discussions with her or review that would lead to 24 criminal level penalties.

How -- based on your I-9 audit at NuStar, how high 25 0

23

was the risk that NuStar would lose workers if ICE audited them?

MR. BISS: Objection, inadmissible opinion.

4 **A** I couldn't speculate as to that, but -- yeah, I should not speculate.

(By Ms. Hauser) Did you express to them that there was any such risk?

8 A

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 0

MS. HAUSER: Let's take a short break. I'm going to check my notes, but I think I might be very close to done. So I will go be efficient with my colleagues, and we'll come back in like ten minutes.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This ends media unit number 4 in the video recorded Zoom deposition of Amanda Bahena, to be continued on media unit number 5.

We're going off the record at 3:52 Central Time.

(Recess taken.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is media unit number 5 in the video recorded Zoom deposition of Amanda

> We're back on the record at 3:58 p.m. Central (By Ms. Hauser) Ms. Bahena, after you completed the

normally part of my I-9 review and -- and training. Um, going back to ICE, if ICE notifies an employer that certain employees' information doesn't match government's records, would the business have to take action in response to that notice?

I don't recall exactly, but I know -- that's

MR. BISS: Objection, speculation, inadmissible opinion.

(By Ms. Hauser) In your experience?

Can you ask the question again? 10

21

23 2.4

25

1 **A**

6

9

11

12

16

17

18

19

22

23

25

21 **A**

0

22 **A**

MR. BISS: That doesn't -- that doesn't cure

I'm getting a little tired. Can you ask the 13 **A** 14 question one more time?

(By Ms. Hauser) Sure. If a business receives notice from, following an ICE audit that certain employees did not match the government's records, does the business have an obligation to take action in response?

MR. BISS: Same objections. 20

> Yes, it has a certain amount of time to follow up with those employees. Some of them are just due to misspellings, um, inaccuracies in the Social Security Administration, um, but if the error can't be corrected and they can't bring in documents that,

1 **A** T-9 audit for NuStar and sent them the letter, did you continue to counsel them on immigration issues? Α Did you hear from anyone at NuStar again after the audit otherwise? 5 0 Α Not that I recall. Um, did you communicate with anyone at NuStar when you received a subpoena for documents in this lawsuit? I don't think so. 10 Α 10 11 Did NuStar ever seek your advice concerning no-match 11 12 letters from the U.S. Social Security 12 13 13 14 **A** No. 14 Did you speak to Anthony Nunes, Jr., who is 16 Mrs. Nunes' father-in-law, at all when you visited 16 17 17 I think about five minutes. He was clearly working 18 18 on the dairy. And he came in and said hello. Um, I 19 19 20 don't -- I don't remember exactly what we talked 20 about, um, but he was more just friendly, "Thank you 21 for coming," um, and then left. But I -- not very 22 22 23 23

Not that I recall. I have no further questions. EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. BISS: Amanda, I've just got a couple of guestions. Good afternoon. Or is it late evening now? The sun has gone down here in Charlottesville, Virginia. I didn't think that I had the energy to get through this deposition, but I did, I found the energy and I found the strength. And I have just a couple of questions. Um, the conversations that you testified about concerning the risks of an ICE audit, those were general conversations about the risks of an ICE audit; they didn't pertain to any particular employee at NuStar, correct?

Correct.

MS. HAUSER: Objection. (By Mr. Biss) Okay. And then -- and then my last question -- there might be two or three, because that's how it goes sometimes. During today's deposition you were shown, I'm going to say hundreds; it probably wasn't hundreds but it was a 24 lot of documents, including the letter that you authored dated October 12 and an invoice dated 25

170

1 A I don't even know who that is. That is Lori's mother-in-law. 0

visited the farm?

Α

24 Q

25

Did you meet with anyone else at the farm while you

Did you meet with Mrs. Toni Dian Nunes when you

No. It was just, um, Lori, and then her husband 6 Α came in, Anthony Nunes the Third, um, for a short time, and we chatted. And I think while I was chatting with him, um, Mr. Anthony Nunes, Jr. came in and said hello, and then I think -- was just in 10 the middle of doing same chares.

12 0 Um, other than the testimony you've already given today, do you recall any other conversations you had 14 with any of the Nunes's while you were at the farm?

15 No. I mean, only what it was like to move to Iowa, 16 and, um, she told me maybe -- like they told me a 17 little bit about their kids and things, just 18

personal type, um, conversations.

19 And other than what you've already testified about 0 today, did you give any other advice to NuStar about 20 21 immigration risk at the farm?

22 Other than what I talked about today, no, not that I 23

Um, did NuStar ask any other questions of you other 25 than what we've already talked about today?

November 13 that no doubt you authorized to be

delivered to your client. But in not one of those documents and in not one of the questions that my

colleague, Counsel for the Defendants, asked you

today, did the name Devin Nunes ever come up. Do

you remember hearing the name Devin Nunes today?

Α

Do you remember seeing his name on any document that you were shown today?

10 **A**

11 And this is true, isn't it: During the 2018 audit, 12 um, Devin Nunes' name never came up once, correct?

13 **A** That is not correct.

14 0 Okay. So when did his name come up?

15 Hmm, I don't recall, but I -- I don't recall the 16 exact context. It wasn't in the case of the dairy 17 and stuff. It was more like family --

18 0

-- personal stuff. 19

20 Q So it -- so it had nothing to do with the dairy?

21 **A** No, it didn't, not with his involvement or the dairy 22 or the I-9s. It was just -- I may have asked out of

curiosity. 23

24 O Okay. Now, you know who Devin Nunes is, right?

25 A

1 0 And when you went to the dairy on October 8th, you 1

3

4

5

10

11

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

knew who Devin Nunes was, correct?

Α

0 You knew that he was a congressman, correct?

5 Α

0 Did you know that he was, um, Anthony -- did you

know that he was Lori's brother-in-law?

8

9 And how did you know that? Q

10 From the article.

11 Is that the only source of information as to who 12 Devin Numes was and how he was related to Lori and

13 the Nunes's in Sibley, Iowa?

14 Α To my recollection, yes.

15 Other than, um, other than a casual mention of Devin

16 Nunes being related to Lori Nunes and Anthony,

Junior and Anthony the Third, this is true, isn't 17 18

it, Devin Nunes' name didn't come up at all?

19 Correct.

20

1

11

16

20

21

22

23

25

0 Okay. Amanda, I don't have the energy to ask you a

21 single other question. So I thank you for your

22

23 MS. HAUSER: I just have one, if you'll indulge

24 me, just to clarify the record.

25

We're going off the record at 4:06 p.m. Central

MR. BISS: No orders. Thank you.

THE COURT REPORTER: Would you like to order

the transcript.

MS. HAUSER: Yes, rough today or tomorrow.

MR. BOYER: Two weeks is fine on the final.

THE COURT REPORTER: Would you like her to read

the transcript?

MR. HUFF: I advise them to waive reading and

signing.

12 THE COURT REPORTER: And would you like to

13 order the transcript?

MR. HUFF: Yes.

(The deposition concluded at 4:06 p.m. Central

Time, February 22, 2022.)

174

BY MS. HAUSER: Mr. Biss asked you if Mr. Devin Nunes' name was on any of the documents you were shown today, and I 4 just want to clarify that you did see a couple of news articles as exhibits and was Mr. Devin Nunes' 6

FIRTHER EXAMINATION

name mentioned in those exhibits?

Yes. Thank you. They were in the news articles 8 that were exhibits. 9

10 0 No further questions.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.

12 Um, while we're on the record we've just got to

13 get orders, so I'll let Cindy go first.

14 MS. HAUSER: Ms. Bahena --

15 THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. I just --

MS. HAUSER: -- you are excused.

17 We are off the --

18 MR. BISS: Okay, so -- so --

19 THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. The

videographer has to go off the record first.

MR. BISS: All right, let's go off the record

then. We can do this off the record.

deposition of Amanda Bahena.

number 5 and concludes today's video recorded Zoom

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This ends media unit

1 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA COUNTY OF PENNINGTON)

I, Cindy K. Pfingston, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the County of Pennington, State of South Dakota, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 22nd day of February, 2022, there appeared before me pursuant to Notice and/or Stipulation

AMANDA J. BAHENA

The deponent named herein, a witness in the above-entitled cause;

above-entitled cause;
That the said deposition was then taken at the time and place indicated; that counsel appeared on behalf of their respective parties as indicated;
That the foregoing testimony was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting

ander my supervision, and the foregoing typewritten pages contain a full, true and correct transcription of all the testimony of said witness;

or all the testimony or said witness;
That the reading and signature of the witness
to the deposition was expressly waived by the
witness and respective counsel;
That I am not of kin or in anywise associated

That I am not or kin or in anywise associated with any of the parties to said cause of action, o their counsel, and that I am not interested in the their counsel,

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 10th day of March, 2022.

CINDY K. PFINGSTON Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public
Pennington County, South Dakota
My Commission expires: 2-4-2028